Capacity of the Gaussian Two-Pair Two-Way Relay Channel to Within $\frac{1}{2}$ Bit

Xiaojun Yuan, *Senior Member, IEEE,* Haiyang Xin, Soung-Chang Liew, *Fellow, IEEE* and Yong Li

arXiv:1704.04641v2 [cs.IT] 19 Apr 2017

***Abstract*—This paper studies the transceiver design of the Gaussian two-pair two-way relay channel (TWRC), where two pairs of users exchange information through a common relay in a pairwise manner. Our main contribution is to show that the capacity of the Gaussian two-pair TWRC is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit for arbitrary channel conditions. In the proof, we develop a hybrid coding scheme involving Gaussian random coding, nested lattice coding, superposition coding, and network-coded decoding. Further, we present a message-reassembling strategy to decouple the coding design for the user-to-relay and relay-to-user links, so as to provide flexibility to fully exploit the channel randomness. Finally, judicious power allocation at the relay is necessary to approach the channel capacity under various channel conditions.**

I. Introduction

Two-way relaying (TWR), in which two users exchange information via a single relay, has attracted much research interest in the past decade. The transmission scheme over a two-way relay channel (TWRC) consists of two links. In the user-to-relay link, the two users transmit signals to the relay; in the relay-to-user link, the relay broadcasts signals to the users. The main idea, termed physical-layer network coding (PNC) [1], is to allow the relay to decode a linear function of incoming messages, and to allow each user to decode the message from the other user by exploiting the self-message. Compared with conventional relaying, PNC-aided two-way relaying has the potential to double the network throughput [2]. More recent progresses on TWRC and PNC has been reported in [3], [4] and the references therein.

A natural extension of TWR is multi-pair TWR that supports multiple pairs of users engaged in pair-wise data exchange. Multi-pair TWR finds applications in a variety of communications scenarios. For example, in satellite communications, a satellite can serve as a relay to enable multiple ground stations to exchange information simultaneously. Compared with the single-pair case, the transceiver design for multi-pair TWR is more intricate, since the latter needs to carefully deal with the inter-pair interference. It has been shown that the capacity of the two-pair TWRC can be achieved to within $\frac{3}{2}$ bits by the so-called divide-and-conquer relay strategy [5]. Multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) techniques have also been introduced into the TWR systems for spatial multiplexing [4]. For example, [6]–[8] studied the beamforming design for the two-pair MIMO TWRC; [9] investigated the capacity limits of the two-pair MIMO TWRC from the perspective of principal angles.

The multi-way relay channel (MWRC) is a generalization of the multi-pair TWRC, where more advanced data exchange models are allowed for information delivery [10]–[17]. For example, the authors in [10] and [11] studied pairwise data exchange, where any two users in the network are allowed to exchange data. The authors in [12] studied full data exchange, where each user transmits a common message to all the other users. Furthermore, the authors in [13] and [14] studied more general models in which users are divided into groups, and the users in each group exchange data with each other. Existing works on MWRC are mostly focused on analyzing the degrees of freedom, or roughly speaking, the asymptotic slope of the network capacity in the high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) regime. So far, there is limited understanding of the capacity limits of the multiway relay network, especially in the practical SNR regime.

This paper studies the transceiver design for the two-pair TWRC in the finite SNR regime. The main contribution of the paper is to show that our scheme can achieve the capacity of the two-pair TWRC to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit per user. This result is much tighter than the state-of-the-art capacity bound developed in [5]. A number of new techniques are employed in the proof of our new bound. To be specific, we put forth a hybrid coding scheme involving Gaussian random coding, nested lattice coding, superposition coding, and network-coded decoding. Also, we present a message-reassembling strategy to decouple the coding design for the user-to-relay and relay-to-user transmissions. This provides more flexibility to exploit the randomness of the user-to-relay and relay-to-user channels. To evaluate the capacity, we use the so-called restricted outer bound as a benchmark. We show that, with a careful design of the power allocation strategy for the codeword components at the relay, every boundary point of the outer bound can be achieved to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit under arbitrary channel conditions.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the system model. In Section III, we propose our transmission scheme. Our main result is introduced in Section IV. The proof of the main result is presented in Section V. Section VI concludes the paper.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 61471241. X. Yuan is with the National Key Laboratory of Science and Technology on Communications, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China. H. Xin and S.C. Liew are with the Department of Information Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China. Y. Li is with School of Information Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai, China.



Fig. 1. The system model of a two-pair two-way relay channel, consisting of four users and a relay.

II. System Model

A. Channel Model

As illustrated in Fig. 1, we consider a Gaussian two-pair TWRC with four user nodes and one relay node. The users exchange information in a pairwise manner with the help of the relay. Specifically, users 1 and 2 form a pair, referred to as pair A; users 3 and 4 form the other pair, referred to as pair B. The channel consists of two links, namely, the user-to-relay link and the relay-to-user link. In the user-to-relay link, all the users simultaneously transmit signals to the relay; in the relay-to-user link, the relay broadcasts signals to all the users. We assume full duplex transmission, in which each node transmits and receives signals simultaneously at different frequency bands. The rate results presented in this paper directly carry over to the case of half duplexing by including a multiplicative factor of $\frac{1}{2}$.

Block transmission is assumed, i.e., each round of information exchange consists of two transmission blocks with equal duration, one for the user-to-relay link and the other for the relay-to-user link. The two transmission blocks are arranged without overlapping in time, with the user-to-relay block coming first. In this way, the relay is able to decide what to transmit after the reception of the whole transmission block for the user-to-relay link.

Denote $\mathcal{I}=\{1,2,3,4\}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{A}}=\{1,2\}$, and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{B}}=\{3,4\}$. User i, $i\in\mathcal{I}$, has a message $m_i\in\{1,...,2^{nR_i}\}$ for transmission, where n is the number of channel uses, and R_i is the rate of user i. The message m_i is encoded into a codeword $\mathbf{x}_i$, where $\mathbf{x}_i=\left[x_i^{(1)},...,x_i^{(t)},...,x_i^{(n)}\right]\in\mathbb{R}^n$. In the user-to-relay link, the four users transmit signals simultaneously. The received signal at the relay in time slot t, $t\in\{1,...,n\}$, is given by

$$y_{\mathrm{R}}^{(t)}=\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}}h_i x_i^{(t)}+n_{\mathrm{R}}^{(t)} \tag{1}$$

where $x_i^{(t)}$ is power-constrained by $p_i=\mathrm{E}\left[|x_i^{(t)}|^2\right]\le P_i$, $y_{\mathrm{R}}^{(t)}\in\mathbb{R}$ is the received signal, $h_i\in\mathbb{R}$ is the channel gain between user i and the relay, and $n_{\mathrm{R}}^{(t)}\in\mathbb{R}$ is the white Gaussian noise $\sim\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2)$. The corresponding vector form of the user-to-relay link in (1) is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{R}}=\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}}h_i\mathbf{x}_i+\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{R}} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{R}}=\left[y_{\mathrm{R}}^{(1)},...,y_{\mathrm{R}}^{(n)}\right]$, $\mathbf{x}_i=\left[x_i^{(1)},...,x_i^{(n)}\right]$, and $\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{R}}=\left[n_{\mathrm{R}}^{(1)},...,n_{\mathrm{R}}^{(n)}\right]$.

Upon receiving $\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{R}}$, the relay performs decoding and then re-encodes the decoded messages into a codeword $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}$, where $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}=\left[x_{\mathrm{R}}^{(1)},...,x_{\mathrm{R}}^{(t)},...,x_{\mathrm{R}}^{(n)}\right]\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Then, in the relay-to-user link, the received signal at user i in time slot t is

$$y_i^{(t)}=g_i x_{\mathrm{R}}^{(t)}+n_i^{(t)},\quad i\in\mathcal{I} \tag{3}$$

where $x_{\mathrm{R}}^{(t)}$ is power-constrained by $p_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{E}\left[|x_{\mathrm{R}}^{(t)}|^2\right]\le P_{\mathrm{R}}$, $y_i^{(t)}\in\mathbb{R}$ is the received signal at user i, $g_i\in\mathbb{R}$ is the channel gain between the relay and user i, and $n_i^{(t)}\in\mathbb{R}$ is the white Gaussian noise $\sim\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma_i^2)$. The corresponding vector form of the relay-to-user channel in (3) is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_i=g_i\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathbf{n}_i,\quad i\in\mathcal{I} \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{y}_i=\left[y_i^{(1)},...,y_i^{(n)}\right]$, $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{R}}=\left[x_{\mathrm{R}}^{(1)},...,x_{\mathrm{R}}^{(n)}\right]$, and $\mathbf{n}_i=\left[n_i^{(1)},...,n_i^{(n)}\right]$. Following the convention in [2], [5], we assume perfect channel state information (CSI), i.e., $\{h_i,g_i|i\in\mathcal{I}\}$ are perfectly known by the nodes in the network.

With the help of self-message m_i, user $i\in\mathcal{I}_l$, $l\in\{\mathrm{A},\mathrm{B}\}$, decodes $m_{\bar{i}}$ based on $\mathbf{y}_i$, yielding an estimated message $\hat{m}_{\bar{i}}$, where $\bar{i}$ is the complement of i in $\mathcal{I}_l$, $l\in\{\mathrm{A},\mathrm{B}\}$. A rate tuple (R_1,R_2,R_3,R_4) is said to be achievable if the probability of $\hat{m}_i\neq m_i$, $i\in\mathcal{I}$, vanishes as n goes to infinity. The capacity region is defined as the closure of all achievable rate tuples.

B. Outer Bound of the Capacity Region

In this section, we present an outer bound of the capacity region. This bound is developed based on the well-known cut-set theorem [18].

Proposition 1: An outer bound of the capacity region is given by

$$R_1+R_3\le\min(C_{13},\max(D_2,D_4)) \tag{5a}$$
$$R_1+R_4\le\min(C_{14},\max(D_2,D_3)) \tag{5b}$$
$$R_2+R_3\le\min(C_{23},\max(D_1,D_4)) \tag{5c}$$
$$R_2+R_4\le\min(C_{24},\max(D_1,D_3)) \tag{5d}$$
$$R_1\le\min(C_1,D_2) \tag{5e}$$
$$R_2\le\min(C_2,D_1) \tag{5f}$$
$$R_3\le\min(C_3,D_4) \tag{5g}$$
$$R_4\le\min(C_4,D_3) \tag{5h}$$
$$R_i\ge 0, i\in\mathcal{I} \tag{5i}$$

where

$$C_i=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{h_i^2P_i}{\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right),\ i\in\mathcal{I} \tag{6}$$

$$D_i = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{g_i^2 P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\sigma_i^2}\right),\ i\in\mathcal{I} \tag{7}$$

$$C_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{h_i^2 P_i + h_j^2 P_j}{\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right),\ i,j\in\mathcal{I} \tag{8}$$

where "log" denotes the logarithm with base 2.

We note that the above bound is referred to as the "restricted outer bound" in [5]. We use this bound as the benchmark to analyze the capacity region of the TWRC.

III. Transceiver Design

In this section, we propose a transmission scheme to establish an inner bound of the capacity region.

A. Preliminaries

From the user symmetry in each user pair, we always assume $R_1 \geq R_2$ and $R_3 \geq R_4$ without losing generality. We will design different coding and power allocation strategies for different channel conditions. Clearly, there are 24 different orders of the four user channels for the user-to-relay link, and the same amount of orders for the relay-to-user link. It will be a formidable task to enumerate all the 24×24 possibilities in system design.

To alleviate this burden, we consider an auxilliary two-pair two-way relay system $(\{\hat{h}_i\},\{\hat{g}_i\},\{\hat{\sigma}_i^2\})$, where the user-to-relay channel coefficients of the equivalent system are denoted by $\{\hat{h}_i\}$, the relay-to-user channel coefficients denoted by $\{\hat{g}_i\}$, and the noise powers denoted by $\{\hat{\sigma}_i^2\}$. We say that the new system $(\{\hat{h}_i\},\{\hat{g}_i\},\{\hat{\sigma}_i^2\})$ is equivalent to the original system $(\{h_i\},\{g_i\},\{\sigma_i^2\})$ if the new system has the same outer bound as the original one in (5). Then, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2: For an arbitrary two-pair two-way relay system $(\{h_i\},\{g_i\},\{\sigma_i^2\})$, there always exists an equivalent system $(\{\hat{h}_i\},\{\hat{g}_i\},\{\hat{\sigma}_i^2\})$ satisfying the following conditions: (i) $\hat{h}_i^2 P_i \leq h_i^2 P_i$ and $\frac{\hat{g}_i^2}{\hat{\sigma}_i^2} \leq \frac{g_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}$, $i\in\mathcal{I}$; (ii) $\hat{h}_1^2 P_1 \geq \hat{h}_2^2 P_2$, $\hat{h}_3^2 P_3 \geq \hat{h}_4^2 P_4$, $\frac{\hat{g}_2^2}{\hat{\sigma}_2^2} \geq \frac{\hat{g}_1^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2}$ and $\frac{\hat{g}_4^2}{\hat{\sigma}_4^2} \geq \frac{\hat{g}_3^2}{\hat{\sigma}_3^2}$.

Proof: We prove by construction. Specifically, consider an arbitrary rate tuple $\mathbf{R} = (R_1,R_2,R_3,R_4)$ with $R_1 \geq R_2$ and $R_3 \geq R_4$. We need to construct an equivalent system $(\{\hat{h}_i\},\{\hat{g}_i\},\{\hat{\sigma}_i^2\})$ such that: first, conditions (i) and (ii) are met; second, if $\mathbf{R}$ is in the outer bound (5) then $\mathbf{R}$ is also in the outer bound of the equivalent system, and vice versa. Note that we set $\hat{g}_i = g_i$, $i\in\mathcal{I}$, for the equivalent system.

Now consider $\{\hat{h}_i\}$. By symmetry, it suffices to only consider pair A. Recall that R_1 and R_2 satisfy the following inequalities in (5):

$$R_1 + R_3 \leq \min(C_{13}, \max(D_2, D_4)) \tag{9a}$$
$$R_1 + R_4 \leq \min(C_{14}, \max(D_2, D_3)) \tag{9b}$$
$$R_2 + R_3 \leq \min(C_{23}, \max(D_1, D_4)) \tag{9c}$$
$$R_2 + R_4 \leq \min(C_{24}, \max(D_1, D_3)) \tag{9d}$$
$$R_1 \leq \min(C_1, D_2) \tag{9e}$$
$$R_2 \leq \min(C_2, D_1). \tag{9f}$$

We construct $\hat{h}_1$ and $\hat{h}_2$ for the user-to-relay link of the equivalent system with the same outer bound as follows. If $h_1^2 P_1 \geq h_2^2 P_2$, setting $\hat{h}_1 = h_1$ and $\hat{h}_2 = h_2$ meets the two conditions of Proposition 2 with respect to pair A.

Otherwise, we have $h_1^2 P_1 < h_2^2 P_2$, implying $C_1 < C_2$, $C_{13} < C_{23}$, and $C_{14} < C_{24}$. Note that $R_2 + R_3 \leq R_1 + R_3 \leq C_{13}$, where the first inequality follows from $R_2 \leq R_1$, and the second inequality from (9a). Thus, $R_2 + R_3 \leq \min(C_{13}, \max(D_1, D_4))$. Similarly, we have $R_2 + R_4 \leq R_1 + R_4 \leq C_{14}$ and $R_2 \leq R_1 \leq C_1$, and so $R_2 + R_4 \leq \min(C_{14}, \max(D_1, D_3))$ and $R_2 \leq \min(C_1, D_1)$ hold. Then, (9) can be rewritten as

$$R_1 + R_3 \leq \min(C_{13}, \max(D_2, D_4)) \tag{10a}$$
$$R_1 + R_4 \leq \min(C_{14}, \max(D_2, D_3)) \tag{10b}$$
$$R_2 + R_3 \leq \min(C_{13}, \max(D_1, D_4)) \tag{10c}$$
$$R_2 + R_4 \leq \min(C_{14}, \max(D_1, D_3)) \tag{10d}$$
$$R_1 \leq \min(C_1, D_2) \tag{10e}$$
$$R_2 \leq \min(C_1, D_1). \tag{10f}$$

By inspection, we see that (10) gives the outer bound related to R_1 and R_2 for the equivalent system obtained by replacing h_2 with $h_1\sqrt{\frac{P_1}{P_2}}$ (while all the other channel parameters remain unchanged). Therefore, we can set $\hat{h}_1 = h_1$ and $\hat{h}_2 = h_1\sqrt{\frac{P_1}{P_2}}$ to meet conditions (i) and (ii) for the user-to-relay link.

We now consider the settings of $\{\hat{\sigma}_i^2\}$ for the relay-to-user link. Again by symmetry, it suffices to focus on $\hat{\sigma}_1^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_2^2$. If $\frac{g_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} \geq \frac{g_1^2}{\sigma_1^2}$, then setting $\hat{\sigma}_1^2 = \sigma_1^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_2^2 = \sigma_2^2$ is enough to meet the conditions in Proposition 2. Otherwise, we have $\frac{g_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} < \frac{g_1^2}{\sigma_1^2}$, implying $D_2 < D_1$. Note that $R_2+R_3 \leq R_1+R_3 \leq \max(D_2, D_4)$, $R_2 + R_4 \leq R_1 + R_4 \leq \max(D_2, D_3)$, and $R_2 \leq R_1 \leq D_2$. Then, we can rewrite (9) as

$$R_1 + R_3 \leq \min(C_{13}, \max(D_2, D_4)) \tag{11a}$$
$$R_1 + R_4 \leq \min(C_{14}, \max(D_2, D_3)) \tag{11b}$$
$$R_2 + R_3 \leq \min(C_{23}, \max(D_2, D_4)) \tag{11c}$$
$$R_2 + R_4 \leq \min(C_{24}, \max(D_2, D_3)) \tag{11d}$$
$$R_1 \leq \min(C_1, D_2) \tag{11e}$$
$$R_2 \leq \min(C_2, D_2). \tag{11f}$$

Therefore, to meet conditions (i) and (ii), it suffices to set $\hat{\sigma}_1^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_2^2$ satisfying $\frac{g_1^2}{\hat{\sigma}_1^2} = \frac{g_2^2}{\hat{\sigma}_2^2} = \frac{g_2^2}{\sigma_2^2}$. The coefficients of the equivalent system for pair B can be constructed in a similar way, which concludes the proof. ■

Remark 1: In Proposition 2, condition (i) ensures that the equivalent system $(\{\hat{h}_i\},\{\hat{g}_i\},\{\hat{\sigma}_i^2\})$ is always worse than the original system; condition (ii) ensures that the channel coefficients of the equivalent system always satisfy certain orders. Therefore, Proposition 2 allows us to only consider the following situation: $h_1^2 P_1 \geq h_2^2 P_2$ and $h_3^2 P_3 \geq h_4^2 P_4$ for the user-to-relay link; $\frac{g_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} \geq \frac{g_1^2}{\sigma_1^2}$ and $\frac{g_4^2}{\sigma_4^2} \geq \frac{g_3^2}{\sigma_3^2}$ for the relay-to-user link. This simplifies the subsequent analysis.

B. User-to-Relay Transmission

In the user-to-relay link, users send signals to the relay. Our transmission strategy is that, in each pair, the user with the stronger channel transmits a superposition of a Gaussian codeword and a lattice codeword[1], and the other user only transmits a lattice codeword. The two users in each pair share a common nested lattice code. For each pair, the relay decodes both the Gaussian codeword and a linear function of the two lattice codewords following the idea of network-coded decoding [2]. The details are as follow.

We first describe the encoding operations at pair A. Recall that $R_1 \geq R_2$. We split the message m_1 of user 1 into m_{10} and m_{11}, satisfying $m_{10} \in \{1, ..., 2^{nR_{10}}\}$ and $m_{11} \in \{1, ..., 2^{nR_{11}}\}$ with $R_{10} = R_2$ and $R_{11} = R_1 - R_2$. We construct a nested lattice code following the Construction A method used in [2]. Specifically, let Λ_1^c and Λ_1^f respectively be a coarse lattice and a fine lattice satisfying $\Lambda_1^c \subseteq \Lambda_1^f$. Denote by $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ the fundamental Voronoi region of lattice Λ. A nested lattice codebook is then constructed as $\mathcal{C}_{10} = \Lambda_1^f \bigcap \mathcal{V}(\Lambda_1^c)$ with size $2^{nR_{10}}$. Then we encode m_{10} into $\mathbf{x}_{10}$ and m_2 into $\mathbf{x}_2$ using codebook $\mathcal{C}_{10}$. The message m_{11} is encoded into a codeword $\mathbf{x}_{11}$ chosen from a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{11}}$.

Similarly, in pair B, we split the message m_3 into m_{30} and m_{31}, satisfying $m_{30} \in \{1, ..., 2^{nR_{30}}\}$ and $m_{31} \in \{1, ..., 2^{nR_{31}}\}$ with $R_{30} = R_4$ and $R_{31} = R_3 - R_4$. We construct nested lattices $\Lambda_3^c \subseteq \Lambda_3^f$ with codebook $\mathcal{C}_{30} = \Lambda_3^f \bigcap \mathcal{V}(\Lambda_3^c)$ with size $2^{nR_{30}}$. Then we encode m_{30} into $\mathbf{x}_{30}$, m_4 into $\mathbf{x}_4$ using codebook $\mathcal{C}_{30}$. The message m_{31} is encoded into a codeword $\mathbf{x}_{31}$ chosen from a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{31}}$.

Users 1 and 3 transmit $\mathbf{x}_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_3$, respectively:

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_{10} + \mathbf{x}_{11} \tag{12a}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_3 = \mathbf{x}_{30} + \mathbf{x}_{31} \tag{12b}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{i0}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{i1}$ are power-constrained by $\alpha_{i0}P_i$ and $\alpha_{i1}P_i$, with $\alpha_{i0} + \alpha_{i1} \leq 1$, $i \in \{1, 3\}$.

Users 2 and 4 transmit $\mathbf{x}_2$ and $\mathbf{x}_4$, power-constrained by $\alpha_2 P_2$ and $\alpha_4 P_4$, with $\alpha_2 \leq 1$ and $\alpha_4 \leq 1$, respectively.

The power factors α_{10}, α_2, α_{30} and α_4 are assigned such that the nested lattice codewords of each pair arrive at the relay at the same power level. That is,

$$p_{10} = h_1^2 \alpha_{10} P_1 = h_2^2 \alpha_2 P_2 \tag{13a}$$

$$p_{30} = h_3^2 \alpha_{30} P_3 = h_4^2 \alpha_4 P_4, \tag{13b}$$

where p_{10} represents the signal power of $\mathbf{x}_{10}$ (or $\mathbf{x}_2$) received by the relay, and p_{30} represents that of $\mathbf{x}_{30}$ (or $\mathbf{x}_4$). This ensures that the two lattice codewords in each pair sit in the same fine lattice at the relay, so as to facilitate network-coded decoding. Furthermore, we have

$$p_{11} = h_1^2 \alpha_{11} P_1 \tag{14a}$$

$$p_{31} = h_3^2 \alpha_{31} P_3, \tag{14b}$$

[1]A Gaussian codeword is a vector with the entries independently and identically drawn from a Gaussian distribution. A lattice codeword is a vector selected from the codebook of a nested lattice code.

where p_{11} is the power of $\mathbf{x}_{11}$ seen at the relay, and p_{31} is that of $\mathbf{x}_{31}$.

Upon receiving $\mathbf{y}_\mathrm{R}$, the relay needs to decode $\mathbf{x}_{11}$ to obtain m_{11}, decode $\mathbf{x}_{31}$ to obtain m_{31}, decode a combination of $\mathbf{x}_{10}$ and $\mathbf{x}_2$ to obtain a network-coded message m_A, and decode a combination of $\mathbf{x}_{30}$ and $\mathbf{x}_4$ to obtain another network-coded message m_B. We now consider network-coded decoding. More specifically, for pair A, the relay computes $[h_1\mathbf{x}_{10} + h_2\mathbf{x}_2] \bmod \Lambda_{10}$ to obtain the network-coded message m_A with rate R_{10}; for pair B, the relay computes $[h_3\mathbf{x}_{30} + h_4\mathbf{x}_4] \bmod \Lambda_{30}$ to obtain the network-coded message m_B with rate R_{30}. There are in total $4! = 24$ decoding orders.

We first consider decoding Gaussian codewords. For example, when the relay decodes Gaussian codeword $\mathbf{x}_{11}$ to obtain m_{11}, the un-decoded codewords are treated as interference. Generally, the signal model is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_R = h_1\mathbf{x}_{11} + \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{n}_\mathrm{R} \tag{15}$$

where $\mathbf{s}$ is the interference. The decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_{11} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{11}}{p_\mathrm{s} + \sigma_\mathrm{R}^2} \right) \tag{16}$$

where p_s is the power of $\mathbf{s}$.

For example, if the relay decodes the network-coded message m_A, the signal model is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_R = h_1\mathbf{x}_{10} + h_2\mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{s}' + \mathbf{n}_\mathrm{R} \tag{17}$$

where $\mathbf{s}'$ is the interference. From [2, Theorem 1], the decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_{10} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\log \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{10}}{p_{\mathrm{s}'} + \sigma_\mathrm{R}^2} \right) \right]^+ \tag{18}$$

where $p_{\mathrm{s}'}$ is the power of $\mathbf{s}'$, and $[x]^+ = \max(x, 0)$.

Suppose that the relay first decode Gaussian codewords $\mathbf{x}_{11}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{31}$, and then decode the network-coded messages with the decoding order given by

$$\mathbf{x}_{11} \to \mathbf{x}_{31} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{10}, \mathbf{x}_2\} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{30}, \mathbf{x}_4\}. \tag{19}$$

With successive interference cancellation, the following rates are achievable:

$$R_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{11}}{2p_{10} + 2p_{30} + p_{31} + \sigma_\mathrm{R}^2} \right) \tag{20a}$$

$$R_{31} = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{31}}{2p_{10} + 2p_{30} + \sigma_\mathrm{R}^2} \right) \tag{20b}$$

$$R_{10} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\log \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{10}}{2p_{30} + \sigma_\mathrm{R}^2} \right) \right]^+ \tag{20c}$$

$$R_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\log \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{30}}{\sigma_\mathrm{R}^2} \right) \right]^+ \tag{20d}$$

where the power coefficients satisfy

$$p_{11} + p_{10} \leq h_1^2 P_1 \tag{21a}$$

$$p_{10} \leq h_2^2 P_2 \tag{21b}$$

$$p_{31} + p_{30} \leq h_3^2 P_3 \tag{21c}$$

$$p_{30} \leq h_4^2 P_4. \tag{21d}$$

C. Message Reassembling

In the relay-to-user link, the relay forwards the four decoded messages $\{m_{\rm A}, m_{\rm B}, m_{11}, m_{31}\}$ to users. In the previous work [5], these four messages are re-encoded into four Gaussian codewords, and a superposition of these codewords is transmitted. This implies that the rate-splitting pattern of the user-to-relay link uniquely determines that of the relay-to-user link. However, due to channel randomness, the rate-splitting pattern that fits the user-to-relay link may not be a good choice for the relay-to-user link. To increase flexibility, we introduce a new message-reassembling strategy at the relay. The main purpose is to decouple the rate pattern design for the user-to-relay and relay-to-user links, so as to fully exploit the channel asymmetry.

The message reassembling strategy consists of two operations, namely, message splitting and message concatenating. Message splitting is to split a message into two parts. For example, we can split a binary sequence "**10101**11100" into "**10101**" and "11100". Message concatenating is to concatenate two messages into a new message. For example, we can concatenate two binary sequences "**10101**" and "11111" into a new message "**10101**11111". The detailed operations of message reassembling depends on the channel conditions of the relay-to-user link, and will be elaborated in the following subsection.

D. Relay-to-User Transmission

Let $\bar{\sigma}_i^2 = \frac{\sigma_i^2}{g_i^2}$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$ be the effective noise power seen by user i in the relay-to-user link. From Remark 1 in Section III-A, we always have $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$. Also, due to the symmetry between the two user-pairs, we assume $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$ without losing generality. Hence, we only need to consider the following three channel orders:

$$\text{Case I}: \quad \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{22a}$$

$$\text{Case II}: \quad \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{22b}$$

$$\text{Case III}: \quad \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2. \tag{22c}$$

In the following, we describe the relay-to-user transceiver design for each case in (22).

1) Case I: In this case, the message reassembling at the relay is first to concatenate $m_{\rm A}$ and m_{11} into a single message, denoted by $\bar{m}_{\rm A}$, and concatenate $m_{\rm B}$ and m_{31} into a single message $\bar{m}_{\rm B}$. Then, the relay maps $\bar{m}_{\rm B}$ to a codeword $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ chosen from a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{\rm R1}}$, and maps $\bar{m}_{\rm A}$ to a codeword $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ chosen from a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{\rm R2}}$, where

$$R_{\rm R1} = R_{30} + R_{31} = R_3 \tag{23a}$$

$$R_{\rm R2} = R_{10} + R_{11} = R_1. \tag{23b}$$

The relay transmits

$$\mathbf{x}_{\rm R} = \mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + \mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} \tag{24}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{{\rm R}i}$ is power-constrained by $\frac{1}{n}||\mathbf{x}_{{\rm R}i}||^2 \leq p_{{\rm R}i}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and

$$p_{\rm R1} + p_{\rm R2} = P_{\rm R}. \tag{25}$$

The encoding operation at the relay is shown in Fig. 3.

The received signal of user i in pair A is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_i = g_i \mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + g_i \mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + \mathbf{n}_i, \ i \in \mathcal{I}_{\rm A}. \tag{26}$$

Each user in pair A first decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ to obtain $\bar{m}_{\rm B}$ by treating $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ as noise. The decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_{\rm R1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + \bar{\sigma}_i^2} \right), \ i \in \mathcal{I}_{\rm A}. \tag{27}$$

After removing $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ from the received signal, each user i further decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ with the help of its self-message. With their specific self-message, the sizes of the decoding codebooks of users 1 and 2 are respectively given by 2^{nR_2} and 2^{nR_1}, where $R_1 = R_{\rm R_2} \geq R_2$. The decoding operation at pair A is shown in Fig. 3. From [2, Theorem 1], the decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \right) \tag{28a}$$

$$R_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2} \right). \tag{28b}$$

The received signal of user i in pair B is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_i = g_i \mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + g_i \mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + \mathbf{n}_i, \ i \in \mathcal{I}_{\rm B}. \tag{29}$$

By treating $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ as noise, users in pair B decode $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ with the help of their self-message to obtain the partner's message. The decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_4 \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2} \right) \tag{30a}$$

$$R_3 \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2} \right). \tag{30b}$$

We are now ready to present the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1: For Case I in (22a), an achievable rate tuple for the relay-to-user link is given by

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2} \right) \tag{31a}$$

$$R_2 = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \right) \tag{31b}$$

$$R_3 = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2} \right) \tag{31c}$$

$$R_4 = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2} \right). \tag{31d}$$

Proof: It suffices to show that the rates in (31) meet the conditions in (27), (28), and (30). Note that (31a), (31b), and (31d) are straightforward from (28) and (30). For (31c), we first see from (23a) that $R_3 = R_{\rm R1}$. Together with $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$, we see that (31c) satisfies both (27) and (30b), which completes the proof.



Fig. 2. The message flow table for Case I: $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$.

2) Case II: We propose two achievable schemes for Case II. In the first scheme, the relay carries out the following message reassembling. The relay first splits the message m_{11} into $m_{11}^{(0)}$ and $m_{11}^{(1)}$, and concatenates $m_{\rm A}$ and $m_{11}^{(0)}$ into $\bar{m}_{\rm A}$. The relay splits the message m_{31} into $m_{31}^{(0)}$ and $m_{31}^{(1)}$, and concatenates $m_{\rm B}$ and $m_{31}^{(0)}$ into $\bar{m}_{\rm B}$. Then, the relay maps $\bar{m}_{\rm B}$ to $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ using a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{\rm R1}}$, maps $\bar{m}_{\rm A}$ to $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ using a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{\rm R2}}$, maps $m_{31}^{(1)}$ to $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3}$ using a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{\rm R3}}$, and maps $m_{11}^{(1)}$ to $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R4}$ using a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{\rm R4}}$. Finally, the relay transmits a superposition of these four codewords as

$$\mathbf{x}_{\rm R} = \mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + \mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + \mathbf{x}_{\rm R3} + \mathbf{x}_{\rm R4} \tag{32}$$

where $\frac{1}{n}||\mathbf{x}_{{\rm R}i}||^2 \leq p_{{\rm R}i}$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$, and

$$p_{\rm R1} + p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R3} + p_{\rm R4} = P_{\rm R}. \tag{33}$$

Also, form the above construction, we have

$$R_1 = R_{\rm R2} + R_{\rm R4} \tag{34a}$$
$$R_2 \leq R_{\rm R2} \tag{34b}$$
$$R_3 = R_{\rm R1} + R_{\rm R3} \tag{34c}$$
$$R_4 \leq R_{\rm R1}. \tag{34d}$$

The encoding operation at the relay is shown in Fig. 4.

The received signal at user 1 is

$$\mathbf{y}_1 = g_1\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + g_1\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + g_1\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3} + g_1\mathbf{x}_{\rm R4} + \mathbf{n}_1. \tag{35}$$

User 1 first decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ to obtain $\bar{m}_{\rm B}$ of rate $R_{\rm R1}$ by treating the other signals as noise. The decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_{\rm R1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R3} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right). \tag{36}$$

After removing $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ from $\mathbf{y}_1$, user 1 decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ to obtain m_2 of rate R_2 via the help of self-message m_1 by treating $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R4}$ as interference. The decoding operation at user 1 is shown in Fig. 4. From [2, Theorem 1], the decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R3} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right). \tag{37}$$

The received signal at user 2 is

$$\mathbf{y}_2 = g_2\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + g_2\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + g_2\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3} + g_2\mathbf{x}_{\rm R4} + \mathbf{n}_2. \tag{38}$$

User 2 decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$, $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$, $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3}$, and $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R4}$ sequentially to obtain $\bar{m}_{\rm B}$, $\{m_{\rm A}, m_{11}^{(0)}\}$, $m_{31}^{(1)}$ and $m_{11}^{(1)}$ of rates $R_{\rm R1}$, $R_{\rm R2}$, $R_{\rm R3}$ and $R_{\rm R4}$, where $R_{\rm R2} + R_{\rm R4} = R_1$. The decoding operation at user 2 is shown in Fig. 4. With successive interference cancellation, the decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$ provided

$$R_{\rm R1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R3} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{39a}$$
$$R_{\rm R2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R3} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{39b}$$
$$R_{\rm R3} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R3}}{p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{39c}$$
$$R_{\rm R4} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R4}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right). \tag{39d}$$

The received signal at user 3 is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_3 = g_3\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + g_3\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + g_3\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3} + g_3\mathbf{x}_{\rm R4} + \mathbf{n}_3. \tag{40}$$

User 3 decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ (so as to acquire m_4) with the help of m_3, by treating the other signals as interference. The decoding operation at user 3 is shown in Fig. 4. The decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_4 \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right). \tag{41}$$

Note that $p_{\rm R3}$ does not appear in (41) since $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3}$ is known to User 3.

The received signal at user 4 is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_4 = g_4\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + g_4\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + g_4\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3} + g_4\mathbf{x}_{\rm R4} + \mathbf{n}_4. \tag{42}$$


Encoding at relay:
$m_{\rm B}, m_{31}^{(0)} \to \bar{m}_{\rm B} \to \mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$
$m_{\rm A}, m_{11}^{(0)} \to \bar{m}_{\rm A} \to \mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$
$m_{31}^{(1)} \to \mathbf{x}_{\rm R3}$
$m_{11}^{(1)} \to \mathbf{x}_{\rm R4}$
$\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + \mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + \mathbf{x}_{\rm R3} + \mathbf{x}_{\rm R4}$
Relay
$\bar{\sigma}_1^2$
$\bar{\sigma}_2^2$
$\bar{\sigma}_3^2$
$\bar{\sigma}_4^2$
User 1
User 2
User 3
User 4
$\mathbf{y}_1$
$\mathbf{y}_2$
$\mathbf{y}_3$
$\mathbf{y}_4$
Decoding at users:
$\mathbf{y}_1 \to \bar{m}_{\rm B}$
$(\mathbf{y}_1, \bar{m}_{\rm B}, m_1) \to m_2$
$\mathbf{y}_2 \to \bar{m}_{\rm B}$
$(\mathbf{y}_2, \bar{m}_{\rm B}, m_2) \to m_{10}, m_{11}^{(0)}$
$(\mathbf{y}_2, \bar{m}_{\rm B}, m_2, m_{10}, m_{11}^{(0)}) \to m_{31}^{(1)}$
$(\mathbf{y}_2, \bar{m}_{\rm B}, m_2, m_{10}, m_{11}^{(0)}, m_{31}^{(1)}) \to m_{11}^{(1)}$
$(\mathbf{y}_3, m_3) \longrightarrow m_4$
$(\mathbf{y}_4, m_4) \to m_{30}, m_{31}^{(0)}$
$(\mathbf{y}_4, m_4, m_{30}, m_{31}^{(0)}) \to \bar{m}_{\rm A}$
$(\mathbf{y}_4, m_4, m_{30}, m_{31}^{(0)}, \bar{m}_{\rm A}) \to m_{31}^{(1)}$

Fig. 3. The message flow table of the first scheme for Case II: $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$.

User 4 decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$, $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$, and $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3}$ at rates $R_{\rm R1}$, $R_{\rm R2}$, and $R_{\rm R3}$, respectively, with successive interference cancellation, where $R_{\rm R1} + R_{\rm R3} = R_3$. As shown in Fig. 4, user 4 obtains $\{m_{\rm B}, m_{31}^{(0)}\}$, $\bar{m}_{\rm A}$, and $m_{31}^{(1)}$ from $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$, $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$, and $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3}$, respectively. With successive interference cancellation, the decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_{\rm R1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R3} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{43a}$$

$$R_{\rm R2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R3} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{43b}$$

$$R_{\rm R3} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R3}}{p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right). \tag{43c}$$

We are now ready to present the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2: For Case II in (22b), an achievable rate tuple for the relay-to-user link is given by

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\rm R4}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R3}+p_{\rm R4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{44a}$$

$$R_2 = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R3} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{44b}$$

$$R_3 = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R3} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R3}}{p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{44c}$$

$$R_4 = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right), \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R3} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)\right\}. \tag{44d}$$

Proof: To prove Proposition 4.2, we need to show that the rate tuple in (44) satisfies (34), (36), (37), (39), (41), and (43). To this end, we first combine (36), (39), and (43) using the fact of $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$ for Case II, yielding

$$R_{\rm R1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R3} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{45a}$$

$$R_{\rm R2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R3} + p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{45b}$$

$$R_{\rm R3} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R3}}{p_{\rm R4} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{45c}$$

$$R_{\rm R4} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R4}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right). \tag{45d}$$

Then, substituting (45) into (34), together with (37) and (41), we obtain Proposition 4.2. ■

We now propose the second scheme for Case II. The message reassembling at the relay is first to concatenate $m_{\rm B}$ and m_{31} into a single message, mapped to a codeword $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ chosen from a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{\rm R1}}$. Then concatenate $m_{\rm A}$ and m_{11} into a single message, mapped to a codeword $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ chosen from a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{\rm R2}}$. Thus

$$R_{\rm R1} = R_{30} + R_{31} = R_3 \tag{46a}$$

$$R_{\rm R2} = R_{10} + R_{11} = R_1. \tag{46b}$$

The relay transmits

$$\mathbf{x}_{\rm R} = \mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + \mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} \tag{47}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{{\rm R}i}$ is power-constrained by $\frac{1}{n}||\mathbf{x}_{{\rm R}i}||^2 \leq p_{{\rm R}i}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and

$$p_{\rm R1} + p_{\rm R2} = P_{\rm R}. \tag{48}$$

The encoding operation at the relay is shown in Fig. 5.

The received signal at user 1 is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_1 = g_1\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + g_1\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + \mathbf{n}_1. \tag{49}$$

By treating $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ as noise, user 1 decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ (to acquire m_2) with the help of m_1. The decoding operation at user 1 is shown in Fig. 5. The decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R1} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right). \tag{50}$$

The received signal at user 2 is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_2 = g_2\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + g_2\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + \mathbf{n}_2. \tag{51}$$



Fig. 4. The message flow table of the second scheme for Case II: $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$.

User 2 first decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ to obtain $(m_{\rm B}, m_{31})$ by treating $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ as noise. The decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_{\rm R1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right). \tag{52}$$

After removing $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ from the received signal, user 2 further decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ with the help of its self-message. The decoding operation at user 2 is shown in Fig. 5. From [2, Theorem 1], the decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right). \tag{53}$$

The received signal of user i in pair B is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_i = g_i\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + g_i\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + \mathbf{n}_i,\ i \in \mathcal{I}_{\rm B}. \tag{54}$$

By treating $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ as noise, users in pair B decode $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ with the help of their self-message to obtain the partner's message. The decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_4 \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{55a}$$

$$R_3 \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right). \tag{55b}$$

We are now ready to present the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3: For Case II in (22b), an achievable rate tuple for the relay-to-user link is given by

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{56a}$$

$$R_2 = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R1} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{56b}$$

$$R_3 = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{56c}$$

$$R_4 = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right). \tag{56d}$$

Proof: Note that (56a) is from (53); (56b) is from (50); (56d) is from (55a). For (56c), we see that R_3 is subject to the constraints in (46a), (52) and (55b). Together with the fact that $\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, we obtain that R_3 in (56c) is achievable. ■

3) Case III: In this case, the relay splits the message m_{11} into $m_{11}^{(0)}$ and $m_{11}^{(1)}$, and then concatenates $m_{\rm A}$ and $m_{11}^{(0)}$ into $\bar{m}_{\rm A}$. The relay concatenates $m_{\rm B}$ and m_{31} into $\bar{m}_{\rm B}$. Then, the relay maps $\bar{m}_{\rm A}$ to $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ using a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{\rm R1}}$, maps $\bar{m}_{\rm B}$ to $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ using a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{\rm R2}}$, and maps $m_{11}^{(1)}$ to $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3}$ using a Gaussian codebook of size $2^{nR_{\rm R3}}$. The relay transmits a superposition of these three codewords:

$$\mathbf{x}_{\rm R} = \mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + \mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + \mathbf{x}_{\rm R3} \tag{57}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{{\rm R}i}$ is power-constrained by $\frac{1}{n}||\mathbf{x}_{{\rm R}i}||^2 \leq p_{{\rm R}i}$, $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ and

$$p_{\rm R1} + p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R3} = P_{\rm R}. \tag{58}$$

By construction, we have the following rate relations:

$$R_1 = R_{\rm R1} + R_{\rm R3} \tag{59a}$$

$$R_2 \leq R_{\rm R1} \tag{59b}$$

$$R_3 = R_{\rm R2} \tag{59c}$$

$$R_4 \leq R_{\rm R2}. \tag{59d}$$

The encoding operation at the relay is shown in Fig. 6. The received signal at user 1 is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_1 = g_1\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + g_1\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + g_1\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3} + \mathbf{n}_1. \tag{60}$$

User 1 decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ (to acquire m_2) with the help of m_1. Note that given m_1, the rate of $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ is R_2, and $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3}$ is known to user 1. The decoding operation at user 1 is shown in Fig. 6. The decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right). \tag{61}$$



Fig. 5. The message flow table for Case III: $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$.

The received signal at user 2 is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_2 = g_2\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + g_2\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + g_2\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3} + \mathbf{n}_2. \tag{62}$$

User 2 sequentially decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$, $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$, and $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3}$ to obtain $\{m_{\rm A}, m_{11}^{(0)}\}$, $\bar{m}_{\rm B}$ and $m_{11}^{(1)}$ of rates $R_{\rm R1}$, $R_{\rm R2}$, and $R_{\rm R3}$. After decoding one codeword, user 2 removes it from the received signal, and then decodes the next one. The decoding operation at user 2 is shown in Fig. 6. The decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_{\rm R1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R3} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{63a}$$

$$R_{\rm R2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R3} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{63b}$$

$$R_{\rm R3} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R3}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right). \tag{63c}$$

The received signal of user i in pair B is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_i = g_i\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1} + g_i\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2} + g_i\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3} + \mathbf{n}_i,\ i \in \mathcal{I}_{\rm B}. \tag{64}$$

Each user in pair B first decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ to obtain $\bar{m}_{\rm A}$ of rate $R_{\rm R1}$ by treating $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R3}$ as noise. The decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_{\rm R1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R3} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right). \tag{65}$$

After removing $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R1}$ from the received signal, each user in pair B further decodes $\mathbf{x}_{\rm R2}$ with the help of its self-message. The decoding operation at pair B is shown in Fig. 6. The decoding error probability goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, provided

$$R_4 \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R3} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{66a}$$

$$R_3 \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R3} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right). \tag{66b}$$

We are now ready to present the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4: For Case III in (22c), an achievable rate tuple for the relay-to-user link is given by

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\rm R3}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2}+p_{\rm R3}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{67a}$$

$$R_2 = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right),\ \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R3} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)\right\} \tag{67b}$$

$$R_3 = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R3} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{67c}$$

$$R_4 = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R3} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right). \tag{67d}$$

Proof: To prove the above proposition, we need to show that (67) satisfies (59), (61), (63), (65) and (66). To this end, we first combine (63) and (65) by noting $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$ for Case III, yielding

$$R_{\rm R1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R1}}{p_{\rm R2} + p_{\rm R3} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{68a}$$

$$R_{\rm R2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R2}}{p_{\rm R3} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{68b}$$

$$R_{\rm R3} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{\rm R3}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right). \tag{68c}$$

Then, substituting (68) into (59), together with (61) and (66), we obtain (67), which concludes the proof. ■

IV. Main Result

We are now ready to present the main result of the paper. The proof is given in the next section.

Theorem 1: For any rate tuple (R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4) in the rate region of the outer bound (5), the rate tuple $(R_1 - \frac{1}{2}, R_2 - \frac{1}{2}, R_3 - \frac{1}{2}, R_4 - \frac{1}{2})$ is achievable for the considered two-pair TWRC.

Prior to this work, the best known rate gap from the capacity of the two-pair TWRC is $\frac{3}{2}$ bits per user reported in [5]. Theorem 1 reduces the gap to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit per user. Compared with the approach in [5], a key difference is the introduction of message-reassembling at the relay to decouple the rate splitting pattern in code design for the user-to-relay and relay-to-user links. That is, the code design can be adjusted with more flexibility to accommodate the asymmetry of the channel conditions for the user-to-relay and relay-to-user links. Also,

as the bound developed in this paper is much tighter than the one in [5], more advanced power allocation and more intricate analysis techniques are involved in the proof of Theorem 1, as seen in the subsequent section.

V. Proof of Theorem 1

A. Preliminaries

The rate region specified by (5) is a polytope, denoted by $\mathcal{R}$. Let $\mathbf{R}^{(j)} = (R_1^{(j)}, R_2^{(j)}, R_3^{(j)}, R_4^{(j)})$, $j \in \{1, 2, ..., K\}$ be the j-th vertex of $\mathcal{R}$, where K is the total number of the vertices of $\mathcal{R}$. Then, a rate tuple in $\mathcal{R}$ can be generally represented as

$$\mathbf{R} = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \lambda_j \mathbf{R}^{(j)} \tag{69}$$

where

$$\sum_{j=1}^{K} \lambda_j = 1 \text{ and } \lambda_j \geq 0,\ j \in \{1, 2, ..., K\}. \tag{70}$$

We have the following proposition. The proof is straightforward using the technique of rate splitting [18].

Proposition 5: If the vertices $\mathbf{R}^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{R}^{(2)}$, ..., $\mathbf{R}^{(K)}$ are achievable, then the convex combination $\mathbf{R}$ in (69) is also achievable.

We further have the following proposition.

Proposition 6: If all the vertices of $\mathcal{R}$ are achievable to within τ bits per dimension, then any rate tuple in $\mathcal{R}$ is achievable to within τ bits per dimension.

Proof: For each vertex $\mathbf{R}^{(j)} = (R_1^{(j)}, R_2^{(j)}, R_3^{(j)}, R_4^{(j)})$, $j \in \{1, ..., K\}$, there is an achievable rate tuple $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} = (\tilde{R}_1^{(j)}, \tilde{R}_2^{(j)}, \tilde{R}_3^{(j)}, \tilde{R}_4^{(j)})$, with $R_i^{(j)} \leq \tilde{R}_i^{(j)} + \tau$, $\tau \geq 0$, $i \in \{1, ..., 4\}$. For any rate tuple $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} &= \sum_{j=1}^{K} \lambda_j \mathbf{R}^{(j)} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{K} \lambda_j (\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} + \tau \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{K} \lambda_j \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)} + \tau \mathbf{1} \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is an all-one vector with an appropriate size, and " $\leq$ " means "entry-wise no greater than".

From Proposition 5, the convex combination $\sum_{j=1}^{K} \lambda_j \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{(j)}$ is achievable. Therefore, $\mathbf{R}$ is achievable to within τ bits per dimension. This completes the proof of Proposition 6. ■

With Proposition 6, to prove Theorem 1, it suffices to only consider the achievability of the vertices of $\mathcal{R}$. To further simplify the analysis, we introduce the concept of *maximal vertex*.

Definition 1: (*Maximal vertex*): A vertex $\mathbf{R}^{(j)}$ is a maximal vertex if there is no other vertex $\mathbf{R}^{(j')}$, $j' \neq j$, satisfying $\mathbf{R}^{(j')} \geq \mathbf{R}^{(j)}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is an all-one vector with an appropriate size, and " $\geq$ " means "entry-wise no less than".

Clearly, if the maximal vertices are achievable to within τ bits per dimension, then all the vertices are achievable to within τ bits per dimension. Hence, we only need to consider the achievability of the maximal vertices of the outer bound.

B. Achievability for User-to-Relay Link

We start with the maximal vertices for the user-to-relay link. From Proposition 1, the rates in the user-to-relay link are outer bounded by

$$R_1 + R_3 \leq C_{13} \tag{72a}$$
$$R_1 + R_4 \leq C_{14} \tag{72b}$$
$$R_2 + R_3 \leq C_{23} \tag{72c}$$
$$R_2 + R_4 \leq C_{24} \tag{72d}$$
$$R_i \leq C_i,\ i \in \{1, ..., 4\} \tag{72e}$$
$$R_i \geq 0,\ i \in \{1, ..., 4\}. \tag{72f}$$

Clearly, (72) specifies a four-dimension polytope. The pivoting algorithm [19] can be used to determine the vertices of (72). Among these vertices, six of them are maximal vertices with the rate tuples (R_1', R_2', R_3', R_4') given by

(U.1)
$$R_1' = C_{13} - C_3 \tag{73a}$$
$$R_2' = C_{23} - C_3 \tag{73b}$$
$$R_3' = C_3 \tag{73c}$$
$$R_4' = C_4, \tag{73d}$$

(U.2)
$$R_1' = C_1 \tag{74a}$$
$$R_2' = C_2 \tag{74b}$$
$$R_3' = C_{13} - C_1 \tag{74c}$$
$$R_4' = C_{14} - C_1, \tag{74d}$$

(U.3)
$$R_1' = C_{13} - C_{23} + C_{24} - C_4 \tag{75a}$$
$$R_2' = C_{24} - C_4 \tag{75b}$$
$$R_3' = C_{23} - C_{24} + C_4 \tag{75c}$$
$$R_4' = C_4, \tag{75d}$$

(U.4)
$$R_1' = C_{14} - C_4 \tag{76a}$$
$$R_2' = C_{24} - C_4 \tag{76b}$$
$$R_3' = C_{13} - C_{14} + C_4 \tag{76c}$$
$$R_4' = C_4, \tag{76d}$$

(U.5)
$$R_1' = C_{13} - C_{23} + C_2 \tag{77a}$$
$$R_2' = C_2 \tag{77b}$$
$$R_3' = C_{23} - C_2 \tag{77c}$$
$$R_4' = C_{24} - C_2, \tag{77d}$$

(U.6)
$$R_1' = C_{14} - C_{24} + C_2 \tag{78a}$$
$$R_2' = C_2 \tag{78b}$$
$$R_3' = C_{13} - C_{14} + C_{24} - C_2 \tag{78c}$$
$$R_4' = C_{24} - C_2. \tag{78d}$$

Remark 3: Instead of using the pivoting algorithm [19], we can determine the vertices of (72) by an exhaustive search. A vertex of (72) has the following property: If (R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4)

is a vertex, then exactly four inequalities of (72) become equality. Therefore, a brute forth way to enumerate vertices is to choose every four equations from (72), find the solution, and then check whether the solution satisfies all the other inequalities in (72). This is time-consuming since there are $\binom{12}{4}$ combinations in total. To reduce the number of candidate vertices, we have the following observations: (i) For a maximal vertex, no equality can be selected from (72f); (ii) for a vertex, at least one and at most two equalities are selected from (72e), one for each user pair. Suppose that $R_1' = C_1$ holds. Then R_3' is at most $C_{13} - C_1$, and R_4' is at most $C_{14} - C_1$. As $h_1^2 P_1 \geq h_2^2 P_2$, we obtain $C_{13} - C_1 \leq C_{23} - C_2$ and $C_{14} - C_1 \leq C_{24} - C_2$. Thus, for a vertex, we obtain $R_2' = C_2$. As a result, the only valid vertex is given by (U. 2). Now suppose that $R_1' < C_1$ and $R_2' = C_2$. It can be readily verified that (U.5) and (U.6) are the only possible vertices. The third case to consider is $\{R_1' < C_1, R_2' < C_2, R_3' = C_3\}$. Then, R_1' is at most $C_{13} - C_3$, and R_2' is at most $C_{23} - C_3$. As $h_3^2 P_3 \geq h_4^2 P_4$, we obtain $C_{13} - C_3 \leq C_{14} - C_4$ and $C_{23} - C_3 \leq C_{24} - C_4$. Therefore, $R_4' = C_4$, which leads to vertex (U.1). What remains is the case of $\{R_1' < C_1, R_2' < C_2, R_3' < C_3, R_4' = C_4\}$. In this case, (U.3) and (U.4) are the only possible choices.

We next show that the maximal vertices (U.1)-(U.6) are achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit per dimension. We start with (U.1). We first rewrite (U.1) as

$$R_1' = R_{10}' + R_{11}' \tag{79a}$$
$$R_2' = R_{10}' \tag{79b}$$
$$R_3' = R_{30}' + R_{31}' \tag{79c}$$
$$R_4' = R_{30}', \tag{79d}$$

with

$$R_{10}' = C_{23} - C_3 \geq 0 \tag{80a}$$
$$R_{30}' = C_4 \geq 0 \tag{80b}$$
$$R_{11}' = C_{13} - C_{23} \geq 0 \tag{80c}$$
$$R_{31}' = C_3 - C_4 \geq 0. \tag{80d}$$

where the inequality in (80a) follows from (6) and (8), the inequality in (80c) is due to $h_1^2 P_1 \geq h_2^2 P_2$, and the inequality in (80d) is due to $h_3^2 P_3 \geq h_4^2 P_4$.

The power parameters are set as

$$p_{10} = \frac{1}{2} h_2^2 P_2 \tag{81a}$$
$$p_{30} = \frac{1}{2} h_4^2 P_4 \tag{81b}$$
$$p_{11} = h_1^2 P_1 - h_2^2 P_2 \tag{81c}$$
$$p_{31} = h_3^2 P_3 - h_4^2 P_4. \tag{81d}$$

With the decoding order of

$$\mathbf{x}_{11} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{10}, \mathbf{x}_2\} \to \mathbf{x}_{31} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{30}, \mathbf{x}_4\}, \tag{82}$$

we have the following achievable rates:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{11}}{2p_{10} + 2p_{30} + p_{31} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{h_1^2 P_1 + h_3^2 P_3 + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}{h_2^2 P_2 + h_3^2 P_3 + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2} \right) \\ &= C_{13} - C_{23} \end{aligned} \tag{83a}$$
$$\begin{aligned} R_{10} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\log \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{10}}{2p_{30} + p_{31} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2} \right) \right]^+ \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{h_2^2 P_2 + h_3^2 P_3 + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}{h_3^2 P_3 + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= C_{23} - C_3 - \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \tag{83b}$$
$$\begin{aligned} R_{31} &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{31}}{2p_{30} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2} \right) \\ &= C_3 - C_4 \end{aligned} \tag{83c}$$
$$\begin{aligned} R_{30} &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{30}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2} \right)^+ \\ &\geq C_4 - \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{83d}$$

Hence the rate tuple $(R_1,\, R_2,\, R_3,\, R_4)$ with

$$R_i = R_i' - \frac{1}{2},\ i \in \{1, ..., 4\} \tag{84}$$

is achievable.

We now consider (U.2). Similarly to (U.1), we rewrite (U.2) as

$$R_1' = R_{10}' + R_{11}' \tag{85a}$$
$$R_2' = R_{10}' \tag{85b}$$
$$R_3' = R_{30}' + R_{31}' \tag{85c}$$
$$R_4' = R_{30}', \tag{85d}$$

with

$$R_{10}' = C_2 \geq 0 \tag{86a}$$
$$R_{30}' = C_{14} - C_1 \geq 0 \tag{86b}$$
$$R_{11}' = C_1 - C_2 \geq 0 \tag{86c}$$
$$R_{31}' = C_{13} - C_{14} \geq 0. \tag{86d}$$

The power parameters are still given by (81). With the decoding order of

$$\mathbf{x}_{31} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{30}, \mathbf{x}_4\} \to \mathbf{x}_{11} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{10}, \mathbf{x}_2\}, \tag{87}$$

we have the following achievable rates:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{31} &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{31}}{2p_{10} + 2p_{30} + p_{11} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2} \right) \\ &= C_{13} - C_{14} \end{aligned} \tag{88a}$$
$$\begin{aligned} R_{30} &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{30}}{2p_{10} + p_{11} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2} \right) \right]^+ \\ &\geq C_{14} - C_1 - \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \tag{88b}$$
$$\begin{aligned} R_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{p_{11}}{2p_{10} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2} \right) \\ &= C_1 - C_2 \end{aligned} \tag{88c}$$
$$R_{10} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\log \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{10}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2} \right) \right]^+$$

$$\geq C_2 - \frac{1}{2}. \tag{88d}$$

Therefore, (84) holds for (U.2).

For (U.3), we rewrite (U.3) as

$$R_1' = R_{10}' + R_{11}' \tag{89a}$$
$$R_2' = R_{10}' \tag{89b}$$
$$R_3' = R_{30}' + R_{31}' \tag{89c}$$
$$R_4' = R_{30}', \tag{89d}$$

with

$$R_{10}' = C_{24} - C_4 \geq 0 \tag{90a}$$
$$R_{30}' = C_4 \geq 0 \tag{90b}$$
$$R_{11}' = C_{13} - C_{23} \geq 0 \tag{90c}$$
$$R_{31}' = C_{23} - C_{24} \geq 0. \tag{90d}$$

The power parameters are given by (81). With the decoding order of

$$\mathbf{x}_{11} \to \mathbf{x}_{31} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{10}, \mathbf{x}_2\} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{30}, \mathbf{x}_4\}, \tag{91}$$

we have the following achievable rates

$$R_{11} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{11}}{2p_{10} + 2p_{30} + p_{31} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right) = C_{13} - C_{23} \tag{92a}$$
$$R_{31} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{31}}{2p_{10} + 2p_{30} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right) = C_{23} - C_{24} \tag{92b}$$
$$R_{10} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\log\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{10}}{2p_{30} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right)\right]^+ \geq C_{24} - C_4 - \frac{1}{2} \tag{92c}$$
$$R_{30} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\log\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{30}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right)\right]^+ \geq C_4 - \frac{1}{2}. \tag{92d}$$

Therefore, (84) holds for (U.3).

We now consider (U.4), we rewrite (U.4) as

$$R_1' = R_{10}' + R_{11}' \tag{93a}$$
$$R_2' = R_{10}' \tag{93b}$$
$$R_3' = R_{30}' + R_{31}' \tag{93c}$$
$$R_4' = R_{30}', \tag{93d}$$

with

$$R_{10}' = C_{24} - C_4 \tag{94a}$$
$$R_{30}' = C_4 \tag{94b}$$
$$R_{11}' = C_{14} - C_{24} \tag{94c}$$
$$R_{31}' = C_{13} - C_{14}. \tag{94d}$$

The power parameters are given by (81). With the decoding order of

$$\mathbf{x}_{31} \to \mathbf{x}_{11} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{10}, \mathbf{x}_2\} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{30}, \mathbf{x}_4\}, \tag{95}$$

we have the following achievable rates:

$$R_{31} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{31}}{2p_{10} + 2p_{30} + p_{11} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right) = C_{13} - C_{14} \tag{96a}$$
$$R_{11} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{11}}{2p_{10} + 2p_{30} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right) = C_{14} - C_{24} \tag{96b}$$
$$R_{10} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\log\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{10}}{2p_{30} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right)\right]^+ \geq C_{24} - C_4 - \frac{1}{2} \tag{96c}$$
$$R_{30} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\log\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{30}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right)\right]^+ \geq C_4 - \frac{1}{2}. \tag{96d}$$

Therefore, (84) holds for (U.4).

We now consider (U.5), we rewrite (U.5) as

$$R_1' = R_{10}' + R_{11}' \tag{97a}$$
$$R_2' = R_{10}' \tag{97b}$$
$$R_3' = R_{30}' + R_{31}' \tag{97c}$$
$$R_4' = R_{30}', \tag{97d}$$

where

$$R_{10}' = C_2 \tag{98a}$$
$$R_{30}' = C_{24} - C_2 \tag{98b}$$
$$R_{11}' = C_{13} - C_{23} \tag{98c}$$
$$R_{31}' = C_{23} - C_{24}. \tag{98d}$$

The power parameters are given by (81). With the decoding order of

$$\mathbf{x}_{11} \to \mathbf{x}_{31} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{30}, \mathbf{x}_4\} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{10}, \mathbf{x}_2\}, \tag{99}$$

we have the following achievable rates:

$$R_{11} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{11}}{2p_{10} + 2p_{30} + p_{31} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right) = C_{13} - C_{23} \tag{100a}$$
$$R_{31} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{31}}{2p_{10} + 2p_{30} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right) = C_{23} - C_{24} \tag{100b}$$
$$R_{30} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\log\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{30}}{2p_{10} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right)\right]^+ \geq C_{24} - C_2 - \frac{1}{2} \tag{100c}$$
$$R_{10} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{10}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right)\right]^+ \geq C_2 - \frac{1}{2}. \tag{100d}$$

Therefore, (84) holds for (U.5).

We now consider (U.6), we rewrite (U.6) as

$$R_1' = R_{10}' + R_{11}' \tag{101a}$$

$$R'_2 = R'_{10} \tag{101b}$$
$$R'_3 = R'_{30} + R'_{31} \tag{101c}$$
$$R'_4 = R'_{30}, \tag{101d}$$

where

$$R'_{10} = C_2 \geq 0 \tag{102a}$$
$$R'_{30} = C_{24} - C_2 \geq 0 \tag{102b}$$
$$R'_{11} = C_{14} - C_{24} \geq 0 \tag{102c}$$
$$R'_{31} = C_{13} - C_{14} \geq 0. \tag{102d}$$

The power parameters are given by (81). With the decoding order of

$$\mathbf{x}_{31} \to \mathbf{x}_{11} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{30}, \mathbf{x}_4\} \to \{\mathbf{x}_{10}, \mathbf{x}_2\}, \tag{103}$$

we have the following achievable rates:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{31} &= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{31}}{2p_{10} + 2p_{30} + p_{11} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right) \\ &= C_{13} - C_{14} \end{aligned} \tag{104a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11} &= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{p_{11}}{2p_{10} + 2p_{30} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right) \\ &= C_{14} - C_{24} \end{aligned} \tag{104b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{30} &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\log\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{30}}{2p_{10} + \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right)\right]^+ \\ &\geq C_{24} - C_2 - \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \tag{104c}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{10} &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\log\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_{10}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^2}\right)\right]^+ \\ &\geq C_2 - \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{104d}$$

Therefore, (84) holds for (U.6). This concludes the proof for the user-to-relay link.

Considering all the above six cases, we conclude that the rate gap between the inner and outer bounds is at most $\frac{1}{2}$ bit per user in the user-to-relay link.

C. Achievability for Relay-to-User Link

For relay-to-user link, we analyze the rate gap for each of the three cases in (22).

1) Case I: With (22a), we simplify the outer bound in (5) for the relay-to-user link as:

$$R_1 + R_3 \leq D_2 \tag{105a}$$
$$R_1 + R_4 \leq D_2 \tag{105b}$$
$$R_2 + R_3 \leq D_1 \tag{105c}$$
$$R_2 + R_4 \leq D_1 \tag{105d}$$
$$R_3 \leq D_4 \tag{105e}$$
$$R_4 \leq D_3 \tag{105f}$$
$$R_i \geq 0,\ i \in \mathcal{I}. \tag{105g}$$

Note that $R_1 \leq D_2$ and $R_2 \leq D_1$ are implied by (105a) and (105c), and so are not included in (105). For the polytope defined by (105), we have three maximal vertices with the rate tuples (R'_1, R'_2, R'_3, R'_4) given by

(D1.1)
$$R'_1 = D_2 \tag{106a}$$
$$R'_2 = D_1 \tag{106b}$$
$$R'_3 = 0 \tag{106c}$$
$$R'_4 = 0 \tag{106d}$$

(D1.2)
$$R'_1 = D_2 - D_4 \tag{107a}$$
$$R'_2 = D_1 - D_4 \tag{107b}$$
$$R'_3 = D_4 \tag{107c}$$
$$R'_4 = D_3 \tag{107d}$$

(D1.3)
$$R'_1 = D_2 - D_3 \tag{108a}$$
$$R'_2 = D_1 - D_3 \tag{108b}$$
$$R'_3 = D_3 \tag{108c}$$
$$R'_4 = D_3. \tag{108d}$$

Remark 4: The maximal vertices of (105) can be determined as follows. Suppose that both the equalities in (105a) and (105b) hold for a maximal vertex. Then, from (105a) and (105b), we obtain $R'_3 = R'_4$. This implies that the equalities in (105c) and (105d) either both hold or both fail. If the former is true, we obtain the maximal vertices (D1.1) and (D1.3); for latter, there are no other two equalities in (105e) to (105g), together with (105a) and (105b), to yield a maximal vertex.

Therefore, except for (D1.1) and (D1.3), at most one of equalities in (105a) and (105b) holds. By noting $R'_3 \geq R'_4$, we further see that only the equality in (105a) can hold. From similar arguments, for (105c) and (105d), only the equality in (105c) can hold. Together with the equalities in (105e) and (105f), we obtain the last maximal vertex (D1.2).

We need to prove that these three maximal vertices (D1.1)-(D1.3) are achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit. We use the achievable rates in Proposition 4.1 for the proof.

For (D1.1), we set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = 0$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = P_{\mathrm{R}}$ in (31). Then

$$R_1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} > R'_1 \tag{109a}$$
$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} > R'_2 \tag{109b}$$
$$R_3 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > 0 = R'_3 \tag{109c}$$
$$R_4 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > 0 = R'_4. \tag{109d}$$

Therefore, (D1.1) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit.

We now consider (D1.2). We set $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = \min(P_{\mathrm{R}}, \bar{\sigma}_4^2)$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = [P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_4^2]^+$ in (31). For $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, we obtain $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = \bar{\sigma}_4^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 + \frac{1}{2} &= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_2^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \\ &= R'_1 \end{aligned} \tag{110a}$$
(110b)
(110c)

$$R_2+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{111a}$$
$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{111b}$$
$$=R_2' \tag{111c}$$
$$R_3+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{112a}$$
$$=R_3' \tag{112b}$$
$$R_4+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{113a}$$
$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{113b}$$
$$=R_4'. \tag{113c}$$

In the above, step (110a) follows from (31a); step (110b) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2\geq\bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (110c) from (107a). Step (111a) follows from (31b); step (111b) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2\geq\bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (111c) from (107b). Step (112a) follows from (31c); and step (112b) from (107c). Step (113a) follows from (31d); step (113b) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2\geq\bar{\sigma}_4^2$; and step (113c) from (107d).

For $P_{\mathrm{R}}<\bar{\sigma}_4^2$, we have $p_{\mathrm{R}2}=P_{\mathrm{R}}$. Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}>R_1' \tag{114a}$$
$$R_2+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}>R_2' \tag{114b}$$
$$R_3+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}>\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right)=R_3' \tag{114c}$$
$$R_4+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}>\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)=R_4'. \tag{114d}$$

Therefore, (D1.2) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit.

We next consider (D1.3). We set $p_{\mathrm{R}2}=\min(\bar{\sigma}_3^2,P_{\mathrm{R}})$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}1}=[P_{\mathrm{R}}-\bar{\sigma}_3^2]^+$ in (31).

For $P_{\mathrm{R}}\geq\bar{\sigma}_3^2$, we obtain $p_{\mathrm{R}2}=\bar{\sigma}_3^2$. Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_2^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{115a}$$
$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{115b}$$
$$=R_1' \tag{115c}$$
$$R_2+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{116a}$$
$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{116b}$$
$$=R_2' \tag{116c}$$
$$R_3+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{117a}$$
$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{117b}$$
$$=R_3' \tag{117c}$$
$$R_4+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{118a}$$
$$=R_4'. \tag{118b}$$

In the above, step (115a) follows from (31a); step (115b) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2\geq\bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (115c) from (108a). Step (116a) follows from (31b); step (116b) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2\geq\bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (116c) from (108b). Step (117a) follows from (31c); step (117b) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2\geq\bar{\sigma}_4^2$; and step (117c) from (108c). Step (118a) follows from (31d); and step (118b) from (108d).

For $P_{\mathrm{R}}<\bar{\sigma}_3^2$, we have $p_{\mathrm{R}2}=P_{\mathrm{R}}$. Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}>R_1' \tag{119a}$$
$$R_2+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}>R_2' \tag{119b}$$
$$R_3+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}>\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)=R_3' \tag{119c}$$
$$R_4+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}>\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)=R_4'. \tag{119d}$$

Therefore, (D1.3) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit. This concludes the proof for Case I of the relay-to-user link.

2) Case II: With (22b), the outer bound of the relay-to-user link in (5) can be written as

$$R_1+R_3\leq D_2, \tag{120a}$$
$$R_1+R_4\leq D_2, \tag{120b}$$
$$R_2+R_3\leq D_4, \tag{120c}$$
$$R_2+R_4\leq D_1, \tag{120d}$$
$$R_4\leq D_3, \tag{120e}$$
$$R_i\geq 0,\ i\in\mathcal{I}. \tag{120f}$$

Note that $R_1\leq D_2$, $R_2\leq D1$, and $R_3\leq D_4$ are implied by (120a), (120c), and (120d), and so are not included here. For the polytope defined by (120), we have the following five maximal vertices with the rate tuples (R_1',R_2',R_3',R_4') given by

(D2.1)
$$R_1'=D_2 \tag{121a}$$
$$R_2'=D_1 \tag{121b}$$
$$R_3'=0 \tag{121c}$$
$$R_4'=0, \tag{121d}$$

(D2.2)
$$R_1'=D_2-D_4 \tag{122a}$$
$$R_2'=0 \tag{122b}$$
$$R_3'=D_4 \tag{122c}$$
$$R_4'=D_3, \tag{122d}$$

(D2.3)
$$R_1'=D_2-D_4+D_1 \tag{123a}$$
$$R_2'=D_1 \tag{123b}$$
$$R_3'=D_4-D_1 \tag{123c}$$
$$R_4'=0, \tag{123d}$$

(D2.4)
$$R_1'=D_2-D_3 \tag{124a}$$
$$R_2'=D_1-D_3 \tag{124b}$$
$$R_3'=D_3 \tag{124c}$$
$$R_4'=D_3, \tag{124d}$$

(D2.5)

$$R_1' = D_2 - D_4 + D_1 - D_3 \tag{125a}$$
$$R_2' = D_1 - D_3 \tag{125b}$$
$$R_3' = D_4 - D_1 + D_3 \tag{125c}$$
$$R_4' = D_3. \tag{125d}$$

We need to prove that the five maximal vertices (D2.1)-(D2.5) are achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit. Note that the proofs of (D2.1), (D2.3), and (D2.4) only involve the achievable rates in Proposition 4.2, (D2.2) only involve the achievable rates in Proposition 4.3, while the proof of (D2.5) involves both Propositions 4.2 and 4.3.

For (D2.1), we use the achievable rates in Proposition 4.2, we set $p_{\mathrm{R}4} = \min(\bar{\sigma}_1^2, P_{\mathrm{R}})$, $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = [P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_1^2]^+$, and $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = p_{\mathrm{R}3} = 0$ in (44). For $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, we obtain $p_{\mathrm{R}4} = \bar{\sigma}_1^2$. Then

$$R_1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_2^2 + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2 + \sigma_1^2}\right) \tag{126a}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_2^2 + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{126b}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{126c}$$
$$= R_1' \tag{126d}$$
$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{127a}$$
$$= R_2'. \tag{127b}$$

In the above, step (126a) follows from (44a); step (126b) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; step (126c) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (126d) from (121a). Step (127a) follows from (44b); and step (127b) from (121b).

For $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \sigma_1^2$, we have $p_{\mathrm{R}4} = P_{\mathrm{R}}$. Then

$$R_1 + \frac{1}{2} > R_1' \tag{128a}$$
$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > R_2' \tag{128b}$$
$$R_3 + \frac{1}{2} \geq 0 = R_3' \tag{128c}$$
$$R_4 + \frac{1}{2} \geq 0 = R_4'. \tag{128d}$$

Therefore, (D2.1) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit.

We now consider (D2.2). In this case, we use the achievable rates in Proposition 4.3. For $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, we set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = \bar{\sigma}_4^2$ in (56). Then

$$R_1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2 + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{129a}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{129b}$$
$$= R_1' \tag{129c}$$
$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} > 0 = R_2' \tag{130}$$
$$R_3 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{131a}$$
$$= R_3' \tag{131b}$$
$$R_4 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{132a}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{132b}$$
$$= R_4'. \tag{132c}$$

In the above, step (129a) follows from (56a); step (129b) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (129c) from (122a). Step (131a) follows from (56c); and step (131b) from (122c). Step (132a) follows from (56d); step (132b) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$; and step (132c) from (122d).

For $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, we set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = 0$, $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = P_{\mathrm{R}}$ in (56). Then

$$R_1 + \frac{1}{2} > R_1' \tag{133a}$$
$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2} > R_2' \tag{133b}$$
$$R_3 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > R_3' \tag{133c}$$
$$R_4 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > R_4'. \tag{133d}$$

Therefore, (D2.2) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit.

We next consider (D2.3). In this case, we use the achievable rates in Proposition 4.2. The proof is divided into three subcases:

$$\text{(i) } P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \tag{134a}$$
$$\text{(ii) } \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \tag{134b}$$
$$\text{(iii) } P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_4^2. \tag{134c}$$

We now consider the three subcases in (134) one by one.

(i) $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$: We set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = 0$, $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}3} + p_{\mathrm{R}4} = \bar{\sigma}_1^2$ in (44). Then

$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{135a}$$
$$= R_2' \tag{135b}$$
$$R_4 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > R_4', \tag{136}$$

where step (135a) follows from (44b), and step (135b) from (123b).

Then we need to show that there exists $p_{\mathrm{R}3}$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}4}$ satisfying the following two inequalities:

$$\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}4} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}3} + p_{\mathrm{R}4} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right)$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{137a}$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}3} + p_{\mathrm{R}4} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}4} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right)$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right), \tag{137b}$$

where the left hand side (LHS) of (137a) is equal to $R_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ with R_1 given by (44a), the right hand side (RHS) of (137a) is equal to $R_1^{'}$ given by (123a), the LHS of (137b) is equal to $R_3 + \frac{1}{2}$ with R_3 given by (44c), and the RHS of (137b) is

equal to $R_3^{'}$ given by (123c). Note that (137) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{2(p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2(p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)} \geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \tag{138a}$$

$$2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2} \geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}. \tag{138b}$$

Tother with $p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}=\bar{\sigma}_1^2$, we can further write (138) as

$$p_{\mathrm{R}4} \geq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2}(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}-\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{139a}$$

$$\triangleq p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min} \tag{139b}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R}4} \leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2}(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\cdot 2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{140a}$$

$$\triangleq p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max}. \tag{140b}$$

To prove that there exists $p_{\mathrm{R}4}$ satisfying (139) and (140), we need to show that the following inequalities hold:

$$p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max}-p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min} \geq 0 \tag{141a}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max} \geq 0 \tag{141b}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min} \leq p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}. \tag{141c}$$

We have the following results:

$$p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max}-p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2}(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2) \cdot\left(2-\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}\right)+\bar{\sigma}_2^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{142a}$$

$$\geq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\cdot\frac{3}{2}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{142b}$$

$$\geq 0 \tag{142c}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2}(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\cdot 2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{143a}$$

$$\geq 2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{143b}$$

$$\geq 0 \tag{143c}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2}(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}-\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{144a}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)-\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{144b}$$

$$\leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \tag{144c}$$

$$= p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}. \tag{144d}$$

In the above, step (142b) follows from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$. Step (143b) follows from $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$. Step (144b) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, and step (144c) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$. This proves the existence of $p_{\mathrm{R}3}$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}4}$ satisfying (137).

(ii) $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_1^2$: We set $p_{\mathrm{R}1}=p_{\mathrm{R}2}=0$, $p_{\mathrm{R}3}=P_{\mathrm{R}}-\bar{\sigma}_4^2$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}4}=\bar{\sigma}_4^2$ in (44). Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{145a}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{145b}$$

$$= R_1' \tag{145c}$$

$$R_2+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} > R_2' \tag{146}$$

$$R_3+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{147a}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{147b}$$

$$= R_3' \tag{147c}$$

$$R_4+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} > R_4'. \tag{148}$$

In the above, step (145a) follows from (44a); step (145b) from $\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2} \leq 1$ and $\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \leq 2$; and step (145c) from (123a). Step (147a) follows from (44c); and step (147c) from (123c).

(iii) $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_4^2$: We set $p_{\mathrm{R}1}=p_{\mathrm{R}2}=p_{\mathrm{R}3}=0$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}4}=P_{\mathrm{R}}$ in (44). Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2} > R_1' \tag{149a}$$

$$R_2+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} > R_2' \tag{149b}$$

$$R_3+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} > R_3' \tag{149c}$$

$$R_4+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} > R_4'. \tag{149d}$$

Therefore, (D2.3) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit.

We next consider (D2.4). In this case, we use the achievable rates in Proposition 4.2.

The proof is divided into five subcases:

$$\text{(i) } P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{150a}$$

$$\text{(ii) } \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \text{ and } \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq 2\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{150b}$$

$$\text{(iii) } \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \text{ and } \bar{\sigma}_4^2 < 2\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{150c}$$

$$\text{(iv) } P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \text{ and } \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \tag{150d}$$

$$\text{(v) } P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \text{ and } \bar{\sigma}_3^2 < 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2. \tag{150e}$$

We now consider the five subcases in (150) one by one.

(i) $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_4^2$: We set $p_{\mathrm{R}1}=p_{\mathrm{R}2}=p_{\mathrm{R}3}=0$ and $p_{R_4}=P_{\mathrm{R}}$ in (44). Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{151a}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{151b}$$

$$= R_1^{'} \tag{151c}$$

$$R_2+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{152a}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{152b}$$

$$= R_2^{'} \tag{152c}$$

$$R_3+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) = R_3^{'} \tag{153}$$

$$R_4+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{154a}$$

$$> \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{154b}$$

$$=R_4^{'}. \tag{154c}$$

In the above, step (151a) follows from (44a); and step (151c) from (124a). Step (152a) follows from (44b); step (152b) from $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_4^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (152c) from (124b). Step (154a) follows from (44d); step (154b) from $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_3^2$; and step (154c) from (124d).

(ii) $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \mathrm{P_R} < \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq 2\bar{\sigma}_2^2$: We set $p_{\mathrm{R1}} = p_{\mathrm{R3}} = 0$ and $p_{R_4} = \bar{\sigma}_4^2 - 2\bar{\sigma}_2^2$ in (44). Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2} =\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{155a}$$
$$=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{155b}$$
$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{155c}$$
$$\geq R_1^{'} \tag{155d}$$
$$R_2+\frac{1}{2} =\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{156a}$$
$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{156b}$$
$$\geq R_2^{'} \tag{156c}$$
$$R_3+\frac{1}{2} =\frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) = R_3^{'} \tag{157}$$
$$R_4+\frac{1}{2} \geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R1}}}{p_{\mathrm{R2}}+p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{158a}$$
$$=\frac{1}{2} \tag{158b}$$
$$>\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{158c}$$
$$=R_4^{'}. \tag{158d}$$

In the above, step (155a) follows from (44a); step (155c) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (155d) from (124a). Step (156a) follows from (44b); step (156b) from $p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \bar{\sigma}_4^2 - 2\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (156c) from (124b). Step (158a) follows from (44d) and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; step (158c) from $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_3^2$; and step (158d) from (124d).

(iii) $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \mathrm{P_R} < \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 < 2\bar{\sigma}_2^2$: We set $p_{\mathrm{R1}} = p_{\mathrm{R3}} = p_{R_4} = 0$ and $p_{R_2} = P_{\mathrm{R}}$ in (44). Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{159a}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{159b}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{159c}$$
$$\geq R_1^{'} \tag{159d}$$
$$R_2+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{160a}$$
$$\geq R_2^{'} \tag{160b}$$
$$R_3+\frac{1}{2} =\frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) = R_3^{'} \tag{161}$$
$$R_4+\frac{1}{2} \geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R1}}}{p_{\mathrm{R2}}+p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{162a}$$
$$=\frac{1}{2} \tag{162b}$$
$$>\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{162c}$$
$$=R_4^{'}. \tag{162d}$$

In the above, step (159a) follows from (44a); step (159b) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq 2\bar{\sigma}_2^2$; step (159c) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (159d) from (124a). Step (160a) follows from (44b); and step (160b) from (124b). Step (162a) follows from (44d) and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; step (162c) from $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_3^2$; and step (162d) from (124d).

(iv) $\mathrm{P_R} \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2$: We set $p_{\mathrm{R1}} = P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_3^2$, $p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\bar{\sigma}_1^2$, $p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $p_{R_2}+p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ in (44). Clearly, $p_{\mathrm{R3}} + p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$. Thus, $p_{\mathrm{R2}} = \bar{\sigma}_3^2 - p_{\mathrm{R3}} - p_{\mathrm{R4}} \geq 0$. Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{163a}$$
$$=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{163b}$$
$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_1^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^4-\bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_1^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^4+\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{163c}$$
$$=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_1^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^4+\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_1^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_1^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^4+\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{163d}$$
$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{163e}$$
$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{163f}$$
$$=R_1^{'} \tag{163g}$$
$$R_2+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{164a}$$
$$=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{164b}$$
$$=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{164c}$$
$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{164d}$$
$$=R_2^{'} \tag{164e}$$
$$R_3+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{165a}$$
$$=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{165b}$$
$$=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{165c}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{165d}$$

$$= R_3^{'} \tag{165e}$$

$$R_4+\frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R1}}}{p_{\mathrm{R2}}+p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{166a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}-\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{166b}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{166c}$$

$$= R_4^{'}. \tag{166d}$$

In the above, step (163a) follows from (44a); step (163e) from

$$\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_1^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^4+\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_1^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_1^2+2\bar{\sigma}_1^4+\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \geq 1 \tag{167}$$

by noting that $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2$; step (163f) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (163g) from (124a). Step (164a) follows from (44b); step (164d) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (164e) from (124b). Step (165a) follows from (44c); step (165d) from $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$; and step (165e) from (124c). Step (166a) follows from (44d) and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (166d) from (124d).

(v) $\mathrm{P_R} \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 < 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2$: We set $p_{\mathrm{R1}} = P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_3^2$, $p_{\mathrm{R2}} = 0$, and $p_{\mathrm{R3}} = p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{2}$ in (44). Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{168a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+2\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{168b}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{168c}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{168d}$$

$$= R_1^{'} \tag{168e}$$

$$R_2+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{169a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \tag{169b}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{169c}$$

$$= R_2^{'} \tag{169d}$$

$$R_3+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{170a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{2}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{170b}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{170c}$$

$$= R_3^{'} \tag{170d}$$

$$R_4+\frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R1}}}{p_{\mathrm{R2}}+p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{171a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}-\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{171b}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{171c}$$

$$= R_4^{'}. \tag{171d}$$

In the above, step (168a) follows from (44a); step (168d) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (168e) from (124a). Step (169a) follows from (44b); step (169c) from $2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (169d) from (124b). Step (170a) follows from (44c); step (170c) from

$$2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{2}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2} \geq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{2}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2} \geq 1, \tag{172}$$

in the above, the inequality $2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \geq 1$ follows from $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$, together with the fact that for $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, $\frac{x+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{x+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}$ is monotonically increasing in x; and step (170d) from (124c). Step (171a) follows from (44d) and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (171d) from (124d). Therefore, (D2.4) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit.

We next consider (D2.5). The proof is divided into eight subcases:

(i) $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ (173a)

(ii) $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \in \left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}, \bar{\sigma}_3^2\right)$ (173b)

(iii) $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}$ (173c)

(iv) $2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 < 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}$ (173d)

(v) $2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 < 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \in \left(\bar{\sigma}_3^2, \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)$ (173e)

(vi) $2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 < 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ (173f)

(vii) $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 < 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$ (173g)

(viii) $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 < 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_4^2$. (173h)

We now consider the eight subcases in (173) one by one.

(i) $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $\mathrm{P_R} \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$: Using the achievability rates in Proposition 4.2, we set $p_{\mathrm{R1}} = P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_3^2$, $p_{\mathrm{R2}}+p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot 2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)-\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ in (44). Note that

$$p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot 2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)-\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \tag{174a}$$

$$\leq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \tag{174b}$$

$$\leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2, \tag{174c}$$

where (174b) follows from $\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2} \leq 2$ for $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$. Thus, $p_{\mathrm{R2}} = \bar{\sigma}_3^2 - p_{\mathrm{R3}} - p_{\mathrm{R4}} \geq 0$. Then

$$R_2+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{175a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot 2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{175b}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{175c}$$

$$= R_2^{'} \tag{175d}$$

$$R_4+\frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R1}}}{p_{\mathrm{R2}}+p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{176a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}-\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{176b}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{176c}$$

$$= R_4^{'}. \tag{176d}$$

In the above, step (175a) follows from (44b); and step (175d) from (125b). Step (176a) follows from (44d) and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (176d) from (125d).

What remains is to show that there exists p_{R3} and p_{R4} satisfying the following two inequalities:

$$\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{177a}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right), \tag{177b}$$

where the left hand side (LHS) of (177a) is equal to $R_1+\frac{1}{2}$ with R_1 given by (44a), the right hand side (RHS) of (177a) is equal to $R_1^{'}$ given by (125a), the LHS of (177b) is equal to $R_3+\frac{1}{2}$ with R_3 given by (44c), and the RHS of (177b) is equal to $R_3^{'}$ given by (125c). Note that (177) can be rewritten as

$$2\frac{(p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2(p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)} \geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \tag{178a}$$

$$2\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)(p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}{(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)(p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)} \geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}. \tag{178b}$$

We can further write (178) as

$$p_{\mathrm{R4}} \geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}(p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2) \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}-\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{179a}$$

$$\triangleq p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} \tag{179b}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4}} \leq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}(p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2) \cdot \frac{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{180a}$$

$$\triangleq p_{\mathrm{R4,max}}. \tag{180b}$$

To prove the existence of p_{R4} satisfying (179) and (180), we need to show that the following inequalities hold:

$$p_{\mathrm{R4,max}}-p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} \geq 0 \tag{181a}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4,max}} \geq 0 \tag{181b}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} \leq p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}. \tag{181c}$$

We have the following results:

$$p_{\mathrm{R4,max}}-p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} = \left(\frac{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}-\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}\right) \cdot \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}(p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2) + \bar{\sigma}_2^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{182a}$$

$$= \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(3\bar{\sigma}_3^2+4\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_1^2)+4\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)-\bar{\sigma}_2^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)} \cdot \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot \left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot 2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)-\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2\right) + \bar{\sigma}_2^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{182b}$$

$$\geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot \left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot 2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)-\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \cdot \frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}{2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{182c}$$

$$\geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot \left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)+\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \cdot \frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}{2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{182d}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}{2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}-1\right)\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{182e}$$

$$= \left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}-1\right)\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{182f}$$

$$\geq 0 \tag{182g}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4,max}} = \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}(p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2) \cdot \frac{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{183a}$$

$$\geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}(p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}) \cdot \frac{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{183b}$$

$$= \frac{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \cdot \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot \left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot 2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)-\bar{\sigma}_1^2\right)-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{183c}$$

$$= 2\left(2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)-\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\bar{\sigma}_3^2\right) \cdot \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{183d}$$

$$= \left(\frac{2\left(\frac{2\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2} P_{\mathrm{R}} + \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\bar{\sigma}_3^2\right)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} - 1 \right) \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{183e}$$

$$\geq 0 \tag{183f}$$

$p_{\mathrm{R}3} + p_{\mathrm{R}4} - p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min}$

$$= p_{\mathrm{R}3} + p_{\mathrm{R}4} - \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot (p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{184a}$$

$$\geq p_{\mathrm{R}3} + p_{\mathrm{R}4} - \frac{p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{2} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{184b}$$

$$= \frac{p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}-\bar{\sigma}_4^2+2\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2} \tag{184c}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot 2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2) - \bar{\sigma}_1^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2 + 2\bar{\sigma}_2^2\right) \tag{184d}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\left(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^4}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) - \bar{\sigma}_1^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2 + 2\bar{\sigma}_2^2\right) \tag{184e}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\left(2\left(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^4}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) - \bar{\sigma}_1^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2 + 2\bar{\sigma}_2^2\right) \tag{184f}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\sigma}_1^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2 + 2\bar{\sigma}_2^2\right) \tag{184g}$$

$$\geq 0. \tag{184h}$$

In the above, step (182c) follows from

$$3\bar{\sigma}_3^2 + 4\bar{\sigma}_4^2 - \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq 2\bar{\sigma}_3^2 + 2\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{185}$$

for $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and

$$2\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2) - \bar{\sigma}_2^2(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2) \tag{186a}$$

$$\geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2(\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2) + \bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2) \tag{186b}$$

$$\geq 0 \tag{186c}$$

for $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; step (182d) from

$$\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2) - \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 0 \tag{187}$$

for $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (182g) from $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$. Step (183f) follows from $\frac{2\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2} \geq 1$ and $\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2} \geq 1$ and $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$. Step (184b) follows from

$$\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \leq 1 \tag{188}$$

for $\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; step (184f) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (184h) from $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$. This proves the existence of $p_{\mathrm{R}3}$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}4}$ satisfying (177).

(ii) $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \in \left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}, \bar{\sigma}_3^2\right)$: Using the achievable rates in Proposition 4.2, we set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = 0$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}3} + p_{\mathrm{R}4} = \frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ in (44). Note that $p_{\mathrm{R}3} + p_{\mathrm{R}4} - P_{\mathrm{R}} = \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(2\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_3^2)+\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2} < 0$ for $P_{\mathrm{R}} > \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}$. Thus, $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = P_{\mathrm{R}} - p_{\mathrm{R}3} - p_{\mathrm{R}4} > 0$. Then

$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \tag{189a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \tag{189b}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{189c}$$

$$= R_2^{'} \tag{189d}$$

$$R_4 + \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}1}}{p_{\mathrm{R}2}+p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \tag{190a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \tag{190b}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{190c}$$

$$= R_4^{'}. \tag{190d}$$

In the above, step (189a) follows from (44b); and step (189d) from (125b). Step (190a) follows from (44d) and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (190d) from (125d).

Then we need to show that there exists $p_{\mathrm{R}3}$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}4}$ satisfying the following two inequalities:

$$\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{191a}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right), \tag{191b}$$

where the left hand side (LHS) of (191a) is equal to $R_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ with R_1 given by (44a), the right hand side (RHS) of (191a) is equal to $R_1^{'}$ given by (125a), the LHS of (191b) is equal to $R_3 + \frac{1}{2}$ with R_3 given by (44c), and the RHS of (191b) is equal to $R_3^{'}$ given by (125c). Note that (191) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{2(p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2(p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)} \geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \tag{192a}$$

$$2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2} \geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}. \tag{192b}$$

Tother with $p_{\mathrm{R}3} + p_{\mathrm{R}4} = \frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\bar{\sigma}_1^2$, we can further write (192) as

$$p_{\mathrm{R}4} \geq \left(\frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2\right)\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)} - \bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{193a}$$

$$\triangleq p_{R_4,\min} \tag{193b}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R}4} \leq \left(\frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2\right)\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot 2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{194a}$$

$$\triangleq p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max}. \tag{194b}$$

To prove that there exists $p_{\mathrm{R}4}$ satisfying (193) and (194), we need to show that the following inequalities hold:

$$p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max} - p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min} \geq 0 \tag{195a}$$
$$p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max} \geq 0 \tag{195b}$$
$$p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min} \leq p_{\mathrm{R}3} + p_{\mathrm{R}4}. \tag{195c}$$

We have the following results:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max} - p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min} &= \left(\frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2\right)\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot\left(2-\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}\right)+\bar{\sigma}_2^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 && \text{(196a)} \\
&= \left(\frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2\right)\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2} \\
&\quad \cdot\left(\frac{3P_{\mathrm{R}}+4\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}\right)+\bar{\sigma}_2^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 && \text{(196b)} \\
&\geq \left(\frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2\right)\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}\cdot\frac{3}{2}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 && \text{(196c)} \\
&= \frac{3}{2}\frac{(2P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 && \text{(196d)} \\
&\geq \frac{3}{2}\bar{\sigma}_4^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 && \text{(196e)} \\
&\geq 0 && \text{(196f)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max} &= 2\left(2P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \\
&\quad -\bar{\sigma}_4^2 && \text{(197a)} \\
&\geq \left(\frac{2(2P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}-1\right)\bar{\sigma}_4^2 && \text{(197b)} \\
&\geq 0 && \text{(197c)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min} &= \left(\frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2\right)\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \\
&\quad \cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}-\bar{\sigma}_2^2 && \text{(198a)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2\right)-\bar{\sigma}_2^2 && \text{(198b)} \\
&\leq \frac{2P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2 && \text{(198c)} \\
&= p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}. && \text{(198d)}
\end{aligned}$$

In the above, step (196c) follows from $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$. Step (197c) follows from $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$. Step (198b) follows from

$$\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \leq 1 \tag{199}$$

for $\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (198c) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$. This proves the existence of $p_{\mathrm{R}3}$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}4}$ satisfying (191).

(iii) : $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $\mathrm{P_R} \leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}$: Using achievable rates in Proposition 4.2, we set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = p_{\mathrm{R}2} = 0$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}3} + p_{\mathrm{R}4} = P_{\mathrm{R}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2+\frac{1}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} && \text{(200a)} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) && \text{(200b)} \\
&= R_2^{'} && \text{(200c)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_4+\frac{1}{2} &\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}1}}{p_{\mathrm{R}2}+p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} && \text{(201a)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} && \text{(201b)} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) && \text{(201c)} \\
&= R_4^{'}. && \text{(201d)}
\end{aligned}$$

In the above, step (200a) follows from (44b); step (200b) from

$$\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2} \leq 2 \tag{202}$$

for $P_{\mathrm{R}} \leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (200c) from (125b). Step (201a) follows from (44d) and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (201d) from (125d).

Then we need to show the existence of $p_{\mathrm{R}3}$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}4}$ satisfying the following two inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \\
&\quad \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) && \text{(203a)} \\
&\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}3}+p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \\
&\quad \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right), && \text{(203b)}
\end{aligned}$$

where the left hand side (LHS) of (203a) is equal to $R_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ with R_1 given by (44a), the right hand side (RHS) of (203a) is equal to $R_1^{'}$ given by (125a), the LHS of (203b) is equal to $R_3 + \frac{1}{2}$ with R_3 given by (44c), and the RHS of (203b) is equal to $R_3^{'}$ given by (125c). Note that (203) can be rewritten as

$$2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2} \geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \tag{204a}$$
$$2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}4}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2} \geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}. \tag{204b}$$

We can further write (204) as

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{\mathrm{R}4} &\geq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}-\bar{\sigma}_2^2 && \text{(205a)} \\
&\triangleq p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min} && \text{(205b)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{\mathrm{R}4} &\leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}\cdot 2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 && \text{(206a)} \\
&\triangleq p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max}. && \text{(206b)}
\end{aligned}$$

To prove the existence of $p_{\mathrm{R}4}$ satisfying (205) and (206), we need to show that the following inequalities hold:

$$p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max} - p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min} \geq 0 \tag{207a}$$
$$p_{\mathrm{R}4,\max} \geq 0 \tag{207b}$$
$$p_{\mathrm{R}4,\min} \leq p_{\mathrm{R}3} + p_{\mathrm{R}4}. \tag{207c}$$

We have the following results:

$$p_{\mathrm{R4,max}} - p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot \left(2 - \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}\right) + \bar{\sigma}_2^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{208a}$$

$$= \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot \left(\frac{3P_{\mathrm{R}}+4\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}\right) + \bar{\sigma}_2^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{208b}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot \frac{3}{2} - 1\right)\bar{\sigma}_4^2 + \bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{208c}$$

$$\geq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{2} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{208d}$$

$$\geq 0 \tag{208e}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4,max}} = 2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} - \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{209a}$$

$$= \left(2\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} - 1\right)\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{209b}$$

$$\geq 0 \tag{209c}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R3}} + p_{\mathrm{R4}} - p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} = P_{\mathrm{R}} - \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{210a}$$

$$= (P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)\left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}\right) \tag{210b}$$

$$\geq (P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)(1-\frac{1}{2}) \tag{210c}$$

$$\geq 0. \tag{210d}$$

In the above, step (208c) follows from $4\bar{\sigma}_4^2 - \bar{\sigma}_2^2 \geq 3\bar{\sigma}_4^2$ for $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (208d) from $\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \geq 1$ for $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$. Step (209c) follows from $\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \geq 1$ for $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$. Step (210c) from $\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \leq 1$ for $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2} \leq 1$. This proves the existence of p_{R3} and p_{R4} satisfying (203).

(iv) $2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 < 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $\mathrm{P_R} \geq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}$: Using the achievability rates in Proposition 4.2, we set $p_{\mathrm{R1}} = P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_3^2$, $p_{\mathrm{R2}} + p_{\mathrm{R3}} + p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $p_{\mathrm{R3}} + p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2} \cdot 2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2) - \bar{\sigma}_1^2$ in (44). Note that

$$p_{\mathrm{R3}} + p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2} \cdot 2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2) - \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \tag{211a}$$

$$\leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_3}{2\bar{\sigma}_1} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1}{\bar{\sigma}_3} \cdot 2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2) - \bar{\sigma}_1^2 \tag{211b}$$

$$= \bar{\sigma}_3^2, \tag{211c}$$

where (211b) follows from $\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_3}{2\bar{\sigma}_1}$ for $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}$, by noting the fact that for $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, $\frac{x+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{x+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}$ is monotonically decreasing in x. Thus, $p_{\mathrm{R2}} = \bar{\sigma}_3^2 - p_{\mathrm{R3}} - p_{\mathrm{R4}} \geq 0$. The remaining proof for this case is strictly follows the proof for (i) $\left\{\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2,\ P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2\right\}$, and thus omit here for brevity.

(v) $2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 < 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \in \left(\bar{\sigma}_3^2, \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)$: Using the achievable rates in Proposition 4.2, we set $p_{\mathrm{R2}} = 0$ and $p_{\mathrm{R3}} + p_{\mathrm{R4}} = 2\bar{\sigma}_3^2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2} - \bar{\sigma}_1^2$ in (44). Note that $p_{\mathrm{R3}} + p_{\mathrm{R4}} = \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(2\bar{\sigma}_3^2-\bar{\sigma}_1^2)+\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2} \geq 0$ for $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, and $p_{\mathrm{R3}} + p_{\mathrm{R4}} - P_{\mathrm{R}} = \frac{(\bar{\sigma}_3^2-P_{\mathrm{R}})(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2} < 0$ for $P_{\mathrm{R}} > \bar{\sigma}_3^2$. Thus, $p_{\mathrm{R1}} = P_{\mathrm{R}} - p_{\mathrm{R3}} - p_{\mathrm{R4}} > 0$. Then

$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{p_{\mathrm{R2}}+p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \tag{212a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \tag{212b}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{212c}$$

$$= R_2^{'}, \tag{212d}$$

where step (212a) follows from (44b); step (212c) from

$$\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2} \leq 2, \tag{213}$$

or equivalently,

$$(2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 - \bar{\sigma}_3^2)P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 0 \tag{214}$$

for $\left\{2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \leq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2,\ P_{\mathrm{R}} \in (\bar{\sigma}_3^2, \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2})\right\}$; and step (212d) from (125b).

Then we need to show that there exists p_{R3} and p_{R4} satisfying the following inequalities:

$$R_1 + \frac{1}{2} \geq R_1^{'} \tag{215a}$$

$$R_3 + \frac{1}{2} \geq R_3^{'} \tag{215b}$$

$$R_4 + \frac{1}{2} \geq R_4^{'}. \tag{215c}$$

Note that (215a) and (215b) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{216a}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \cdot \frac{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right), \tag{216b}$$

where the left hand side (LHS) of (216a) is equal to $R_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ with R_1 given by (44a), the right hand side (RHS) of (216a) is equal to $R_1^{'}$ given by (125a), the LHS of (216b) is equal to $R_3 + \frac{1}{2}$ with R_3 given by (44c), and the RHS of (216b) is equal to $R_3^{'}$ given by (125c). Equivalently, (216) can be rewritten as

$$2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2} \geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \tag{217a}$$

$$2\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)(p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)}{(p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)(p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)} \geq \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)} \cdot \frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}. \tag{217b}$$

Together with $p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}=2\bar{\sigma}_3^2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}-\bar{\sigma}_1^2$, we can further write (217) as

$$p_{\mathrm{R4}} \geq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2}-\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{218a}$$

$$\triangleq p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} \tag{218b}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4}} \leq \frac{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}\cdot\frac{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2} - \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{219a}$$

$$= \frac{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}\cdot\frac{2\bar{\sigma}_3^2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}-\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{2\bar{\sigma}_3^2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}} - \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{219b}$$

$$= \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot\left((2+\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2})P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2\right)-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{219c}$$

$$\triangleq p_{\mathrm{R4,max}}. \tag{219d}$$

Assume $p_{\mathrm{R3}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ for $p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}=2\bar{\sigma}_3^2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}-\bar{\sigma}_1^2$, that is,

$$p_{\mathrm{R4}} \leq 2\bar{\sigma}_3^2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}-\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \tag{220a}$$

$$= \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \tag{220b}$$

$$\triangleq p_{\mathrm{R4}}^*. \tag{220c}$$

Then for (215c), we have

$$R_4+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}+\min\left\{\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{p_{R1}}}{\mathrm{p_{R2}}+\mathrm{p_{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right), \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R1}}}{p_{\mathrm{R2}}+p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)\right\} \tag{221a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R1}}}{p_{\mathrm{R2}}+p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{221b}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{p_{\mathrm{R2}}+p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{221c}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{2\bar{\sigma}_3^2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}}\right) \tag{221d}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{221e}$$

$$= R_4^{'}, \tag{221f}$$

where step (221a) follows from (44d), step (221b) from $p_{\mathrm{R3}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$; and step (221f) from (125d).

Combining the above discussions, we see that to prove the existence of p_{R3} and p_{R4} satisfying (218), (219) and (220), we need to prove

$$p_{\mathrm{R4,max}}-p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} \geq 0 \tag{222a}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4,max}} \geq 0 \tag{222b}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} \leq p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}} \tag{222c}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4}}^*-p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} \geq 0 \tag{222d}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4}}^* \geq 0. \tag{222e}$$

We have the following results:

$$p_{\mathrm{R4,max}}-p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot\left((\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2})P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\frac{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{2}\right) + \bar{\sigma}_2^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{223a}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot\left(\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right)P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2\right)-1\right)\cdot\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{223b}$$

$$\geq 0 \tag{223c}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4,max}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \cdot\left((2+\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2})P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2+\bar{\sigma}_1^2\right)-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{224a}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\left((2-\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2})P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2\right)-1\right)\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{224b}$$

$$\geq 0 \tag{224c}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R3}}+p_{\mathrm{R4}}-p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} = \left(2-\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \cdot\bar{\sigma}_3^2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}-\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{225a}$$

$$= \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(4\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2)+\bar{\sigma}_4^2(4\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2)}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \cdot\bar{\sigma}_3^2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}-\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{225b}$$

$$\geq \frac{3\bar{\sigma}_1^2P_{\mathrm{R}}+3\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\cdot\bar{\sigma}_3^2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}-\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{225c}$$

$$= \frac{3}{2}\bar{\sigma}_3^2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}-\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{225d}$$

$$= \frac{(3\bar{\sigma}_3^2-2\bar{\sigma}_1^2)P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{225e}$$

$$\geq 0. \tag{225f}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4}}^*-p_{\mathrm{R4,min}} = \left(P_{\mathrm{R}}+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_3^2-\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2)\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{226a}$$

$$= \left(\frac{aP_{\mathrm{R}}^2+bP_{\mathrm{R}}+c}{2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \tag{226b}$$

$$\geq 0. \tag{226c}$$

$$p_{\mathrm{R4}}^* = \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+2\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\bar{\sigma}_3^2 > 0. \tag{227}$$

In the above, step (223c) follows from $2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$. Step (224b) follows from $\frac{(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \geq 1$ for $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (224c) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$. Step (225c) follows from $4\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $4\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \geq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ for $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$;

and step (225f) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$. Step (226c) follows from $aP_{\mathrm{R}}^2 + bP_{\mathrm{R}} + c \geq 0$ for $P_{\mathrm{R}} > \bar{\sigma}_3^2$, which will be proved in the following discussion, where $a = 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, $b = 4\bar{\sigma}_1^4 - 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2 + \bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2 - \bar{\sigma}_2^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2$ and $c = 4\bar{\sigma}_1^4\bar{\sigma}_4^2 - 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2 - \bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_2^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2$. The last step of (227) is from $P_{\mathrm{R}} > \bar{\sigma}_3^2$.

What remains is to show that $aP_{\mathrm{R}}^2 + bP_{\mathrm{R}} + c \geq 0$ for $P_{\mathrm{R}} > \bar{\sigma}_3^2$. Let $f(x) \triangleq ax^2 + bx + c$. Since

$$a = 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq 0, \tag{228}$$

the quadratic function $f(x)$ achieves the minimum at

$$\begin{aligned} x =& -\frac{b}{2a} && \text{(229a)}\\ =& \frac{2\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2 - 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2) + \bar{\sigma}_4^2(\bar{\sigma}_2^2 - \bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{2(2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2)} && \text{(229b)}\\ \leq& \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2 - 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2} && \text{(229c)}\\ \leq& \bar{\sigma}_3^2, && \text{(229d)} \end{aligned}$$

where step (229c) follows from $\bar{\sigma}_2^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step(229d) from

$$\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2 - 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2) \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2(2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2) \tag{230}$$

by noting $\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2 - \bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2 + 2\bar{\sigma}_1^4 = \bar{\sigma}_3^2(\bar{\sigma}_1^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2) + 2\bar{\sigma}_1^4 \geq 0$ for $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$. Thus, $f(x)$ is monotonically increasing for $x > \bar{\sigma}_3^2$. Then, we only need to show $f(\bar{\sigma}_3^2) \geq 0$. To this end, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\bar{\sigma}_3^2) =& a\bar{\sigma}_3^4 + b\bar{\sigma}_3^2 + c && \text{(231a)}\\ =& 4\bar{\sigma}_1^4(\bar{\sigma}_3^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2) - \bar{\sigma}_4^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2 + \bar{\sigma}_2^2)(\bar{\sigma}_1^2 + \bar{\sigma}_3^2) && \text{(231b)}\\ \geq& (\bar{\sigma}_3^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2)(4\bar{\sigma}_1^4 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2 + \bar{\sigma}_1^2)) && \text{(231c)}\\ \geq& (\bar{\sigma}_3^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2)(3\bar{\sigma}_1^4 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2) && \text{(231d)}\\ \geq& 0, && \text{(231e)} \end{aligned}$$

where step (231c) follows from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 + \bar{\sigma}_2^2$ for $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; step (231d) from $\bar{\sigma}_1^4 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ for $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, and step (231e) from $3\bar{\sigma}_1^4 \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2$ for $2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 \leq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$. Combine (228)-(231), we have $f(P_{\mathrm{R}}) \geq f(\bar{\sigma}_3^2) \geq 0$ for $P_{\mathrm{R}} > \bar{\sigma}_3^2$.

(vi) $2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2 < 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}} \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$: The proof for this case strictly follows the proof for (iii) $\left\{\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq 3\bar{\sigma}_1^2,\ P_{\mathrm{R}} \leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2 - 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right\}$, except that step (202) should be replaced by

$$\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2} \leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2 + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}{2\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \leq 2, \tag{232}$$

where the first step is from $P_{\mathrm{R}} \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$, and the fact that for $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, $\frac{x+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{x+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}$ is monotonically increasing in x.

(vii) $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 < 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$: Using the achievable rates in Proposition 4.3, we set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = \bar{\sigma}_4^2$ in (56). Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 + \frac{1}{2} =& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}2} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) && \text{(233a)}\\ =& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_2^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) && \text{(233b)}\\ \geq& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)\\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) && \text{(233c)}\\ =& R_1^{'} && \text{(233d)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 + \frac{1}{2} =& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}1} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) && \text{(234a)}\\ =& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_4^2 + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) && \text{(234b)}\\ \geq& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) && \text{(234c)}\\ =& R_2^{'} && \text{(234d)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 + \frac{1}{2} =& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}2} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) && \text{(235a)}\\ =& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) && \text{(235b)}\\ \geq& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) && \text{(235c)}\\ =& R_3^{'} && \text{(235d)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_4 + \frac{1}{2} =& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}2} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) && \text{(236a)}\\ =& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2 + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) && \text{(236b)}\\ \geq& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) && \text{(236c)}\\ =& R_4^{'}. && \text{(236d)} \end{aligned}$$

In the above, step (233a) follows from (56a); step (233c) from $2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 > \bar{\sigma}_3^2$, $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (233d) from (125a). Step (234a) follows from (56b); step (234c) follows from $2\bar{\sigma}_1^2 > \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (234d) from (125b). Step (235a) follows from (56c); (235c) from $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$; and step (235d) from (125c). Step (236a) follows from (56d); step (236c) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$; and step (236d) from (125d).

(viii) $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 < 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_4^2$: Using the achievable rates in Proposition 4.3, we set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = 0$ and $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = P_{\mathrm{R}}$ in (56). Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 + \frac{1}{2} =& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}2} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) && \text{(237a)}\\ =& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) && \text{(237b)}\\ \geq& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)\\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) && \text{(237c)}\\ =& R_1^{'} && \text{(237d)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 + \frac{1}{2} =& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) && \text{(238a)}\\ \geq& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) && \text{(238b)}\\ =& R_2^{'} && \text{(238c)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 + \frac{1}{2} =& \frac{1}{2} && \text{(239a)}\\ \geq& \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_1^2} \cdot \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}} + \bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) && \text{(239b)} \end{aligned}$$

$$=R_3^{'} \tag{239c}$$

$$R_4+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{240a}$$

$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{240b}$$

$$=R_4^{'}. \tag{240c}$$

In the above, step (237a) follows from (56a); step (237c) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (237d) from (125a). Step (238a) follows from (56b); and step (238c) from (125b). Step (239a) follows from (56c); (239b) from $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_4^2$; and step (239c) from (125c). Step (240a) follows from (56d); step (240b) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_4^2$; and step (240c) from (125d).

Combining the above eight subcases, we see that (D2.5) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit. This concludes the proof of Case II for the relay-to-user link.

3) Case III: With (22c), the outer bound of the relay-to-user link in (5) can be written as

$$R_1+R_3 \leq D_2 \tag{241a}$$

$$R_1+R_4 \leq D_2 \tag{241b}$$

$$R_2+R_3 \leq D_4 \tag{241c}$$

$$R_2+R_4 \leq D_3 \tag{241d}$$

$$R_2 \leq D_1 \tag{241e}$$

$$R_i \geq 0,\ i \in \mathcal{I}. \tag{241f}$$

For the polytope defined by (241), we have the following five maximal vertices with the rate tuples $(R_1', R_2', R_3' R_4')$ given by

(D3.1)
$$R_1' = D_2 \tag{242a}$$
$$R_2' = D_1 \tag{242b}$$
$$R_3' = 0 \tag{242c}$$
$$R_4' = 0, \tag{242d}$$

(D3.2)
$$R_1' = D_2 - D_4 \tag{243a}$$
$$R_2' = 0 \tag{243b}$$
$$R_3' = D_4 \tag{243c}$$
$$R_4' = D_3, \tag{243d}$$

(D3.3)
$$R_1' = D_2 - D_3 \tag{244a}$$
$$R_2' = 0 \tag{244b}$$
$$R_3' = D_3 \tag{244c}$$
$$R_4' = D_3, \tag{244d}$$

(D3.4)
$$R_1' = D_2 - D_4 + D_1 \tag{245a}$$
$$R_2' = D_1 \tag{245b}$$
$$R_3' = D_4 - D_1 \tag{245c}$$
$$R_4' = D_3 - D_1, \tag{245d}$$

(D3.5)
$$R_1' = D_2 - D_3 + D_1 \tag{246a}$$
$$R_2' = D_1 \tag{246b}$$
$$R_3' = D_3 - D_1 \tag{246c}$$
$$R_4' = D_3 - D_1. \tag{246d}$$

We need to prove that these five maximal vertices (D3.1)-(D3.5) are achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit. We use the achievable rates in Proposition 4.4 for the proof.

For (D3.1), we set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = [P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_1^2]^+$, $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = 0$, and $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = \min(P_{\mathrm{R}}, \bar{\sigma}_1^2)$ in (67). For $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, we obtain $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = \bar{\sigma}_1^2$. Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{247a}$$

$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{247b}$$

$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{247c}$$

$$=R_1' \tag{247d}$$

$$R_2+\frac{1}{2}\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}1}}{p_{\mathrm{R}2}+p_{\mathrm{R}3}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{248a}$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{248b}$$

$$=R_2'. \tag{248c}$$

In the above, step (247a) follows from (67a); step (247b) from

$$2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}-\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}=\frac{(\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_3^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}-\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}\geq 0 \tag{249}$$

for $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; step (247c) from $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (247d) from (242a). Step (248a) follows from (67b); and step (248c) from (242b).

For $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, we have $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = P_{\mathrm{R}}$. Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}>R_1' \tag{250a}$$

$$R_2+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}>\frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)=R_2' \tag{250b}$$

$$R_3+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}>0=R_3' \tag{250c}$$

$$R_4+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}>0=R_4'. \tag{250d}$$

Therefore, (D3.1) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit.

We now consider (D3.2). We set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = 0$, $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = (P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_4^2)^+$, and $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = \min(\bar{\sigma}_4^2, P_{\mathrm{R}})$ in (67). For $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, we obtain $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = \bar{\sigma}_4^2$. Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{251a}$$

$$\geq\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{251b}$$

$$=R_1' \tag{251c}$$

$$R_2+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}>0=R_2' \tag{252}$$

$$R_3+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{253a}$$

$$=R_3' \tag{253b}$$

$$R_4+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{254a}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{254b}$$

$$= R_4'. \tag{254c}$$

In the above, step (251a) follows from (67a); step (251b) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (251c) from (243a). Step (253a) follows from (67c); and step (253b) from (243c). Step (254a) follows from (67d); step (254b) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$; and step (254c) from (243d).

For $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, we have $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = P_{\mathrm{R}}$. Then

$$R_1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} > D_2 > R_1' \tag{255a}$$

$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} > 0 = R_2' \tag{255b}$$

$$R_3 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) = R_3' \tag{255c}$$

$$R_4 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) = R_4'. \tag{255d}$$

Therefore, (D3.2) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit.

We next consider (D3.3). We set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = 0$, $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = (P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_3^2)^+$, and $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = \min(P_{\mathrm{R}}, \bar{\sigma}_3^2)$ in (67). For $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$, we obtain $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = \bar{\sigma}_3^2$. Then

$$R_1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) \tag{256a}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{256b}$$

$$= R_1' \tag{256c}$$

$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > 0 = R_2' \tag{257}$$

$$R_3 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{258a}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{258b}$$

$$= R_3' \tag{258c}$$

$$R_4 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{259a}$$

$$= R_4'. \tag{259b}$$

In the above, step (256a) follows from (67a); step (256b) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (256c) from (244a). Step (258a) follows from (67c); step (258b) from

$$2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2} - \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2} = \frac{(\bar{\sigma}_3^2-\bar{\sigma}_4^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}-\bar{\sigma}_3^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2)} \geq 0 \tag{260}$$

for $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$; and step (258c) from (244c). Step (259a) follows from (67d); and step (259b) from (244d).

For $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_3^2$, we have $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = P_{\mathrm{R}}$. Then

$$R_1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} > D_2 > R_1' \tag{261a}$$

$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} > 0 = R_2' \tag{261b}$$

$$R_3 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) = R_3' \tag{261c}$$

$$R_4 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) = R_4'. \tag{261d}$$

Therefore, (D3.3) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit.

We next consider (D3.4). If $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, we set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = P_{\mathrm{R}} - \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = \bar{\sigma}_1^2 - \bar{\sigma}_4^2$, and $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = \bar{\sigma}_4^2$ in (67). Then

$$R_1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{262a}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{262b}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{262c}$$

$$= R_1' \tag{262d}$$

$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}1}}{p_{\mathrm{R}2}+p_{\mathrm{R}3}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \tag{263a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{263b}$$

$$= R_2' \tag{263c}$$

$$R_3 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{264a}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{264b}$$

$$= R_3' \tag{264c}$$

$$R_4 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{265a}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{265b}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{265c}$$

$$= R_4'. \tag{265d}$$

In the above, step (262a) follows from (67a); step (262b) from

$$2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2} - \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2} = \frac{(\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_3^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}-\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \geq 0 \tag{266}$$

for $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; step (262c) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (262d) from (245a). Step (263a) follows from (67b); and step (263c) from (245b). Step (264a) follows from (67c); step (264b) from $\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (264c) from (245c). Step (265a) follows from (67d); step (265b) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$; step (265c) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (265d) from (245d).

For $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, we set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = 0$, $p_{\mathrm{R}2} + p_{\mathrm{R}3} = P_{\mathrm{R}}$, and $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2)}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}$ in (67). Then

$$R_1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}3}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \tag{267a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{267b}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{267c}$$

$$= R_1' \tag{267d}$$

$$R_2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \tag{268a}$$

$$> \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{268b}$$
$$= R_2' \tag{268c}$$
$$R_3+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}3}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{269a}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2)}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{269b}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{269c}$$
$$= R_3' \tag{269d}$$
$$R_4+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}3}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{270a}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2)}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{270b}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{270c}$$
$$= R_4'. \tag{270d}$$

In the above, step (267a) follows from (67a); step (267c) from $\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2} < 2$ for $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; step (267d) from (245a). Step (268a) follows from (67b); step (268b) from $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (268c) from (245b). Step (269a) follows from (67c); step (269c) from

$$2\bar{\sigma}_4^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2) \geq 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{271a}$$
$$\geq \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2 \tag{271b}$$
$$\geq \left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2)}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2\right)\bar{\sigma}_1^2; \tag{271c}$$

and step (269d) from (245c). Step (270a) follows from (67d); step (270c) from

$$2\bar{\sigma}_3^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2) \geq 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \tag{272a}$$
$$\geq \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \tag{272b}$$
$$\geq \left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2)}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2\right)\bar{\sigma}_1^2, \tag{272c}$$

where (272b) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$; and step (270d) from (245d). Therefore, (D3.4) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit.

We next consider (D3.5). For $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, we set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = P_{\mathrm{R}}-\bar{\sigma}_1^2$, $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = \bar{\sigma}_3^2$, and $p_{\mathrm{R}2} = \bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_3^2$ in (67). Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{273a}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{273b}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{273c}$$
$$= R_1' \tag{273d}$$
$$R_2+\frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}1}}{p_{\mathrm{R}2}+p_{\mathrm{R}3}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{274a}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{274b}$$
$$= R_2' \tag{274c}$$
$$R_3+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{275a}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{275b}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{275c}$$
$$= R_3' \tag{275d}$$
$$R_4+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{276a}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{276b}$$
$$= R_4'. \tag{276c}$$

In the above, step (273a) follows from (67a); step (273b) from

$$2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}-\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2} = \frac{(\bar{\sigma}_1^2-\bar{\sigma}_3^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}-\bar{\sigma}_1^2)}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_1^2+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)} \geq 0 \tag{277}$$

for $\bar{\sigma}_1^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_3^2$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}} \geq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; step (273c) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_2^2$; and step (273d) from (246a). Step (274a) follows from (67b); and step (274c) from (246b). Step (275a) follows from (67c); step (275b) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$; step (275c) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (275d) from (246c). Step (276a) follows from (67d); step (276b) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; step (276c) from (246d).

For $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_1^2$, we set $p_{\mathrm{R}1} = 0$, $p_{\mathrm{R}2}+p_{\mathrm{R}3} = P_{\mathrm{R}}$, and $p_{\mathrm{R}3} = \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(\bar{\sigma}_3^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2)}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}$ in (67). Then

$$R_1+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{p_{\mathrm{R}3}}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \tag{278a}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{278b}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_2^2}{\bar{\sigma}_2^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{278c}$$
$$= R_1' \tag{278d}$$
$$R_2+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \tag{279a}$$
$$> \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{279b}$$
$$= R_2' \tag{279c}$$
$$R_3+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}3}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{280a}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}{\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(\bar{\sigma}_3^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2)}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2}\right) \tag{280b}$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{280c}$$
$$= R_3' \tag{280d}$$
$$R_4+\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{p_{\mathrm{R}3}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{281a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(2\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(\bar{\sigma}_3^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2)}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\right) \tag{281b}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{\bar{\sigma}_3^2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}\right) \tag{281c}$$

$$= R_4'. \tag{281d}$$

In the above, step (278a) follows from (67a); step (278c) from $\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2}{\bar{\sigma}_1^2} < 2$ for $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; step (278d) from (246a). Step (279a) follows from (67b); step (279b) from $P_{\mathrm{R}} < \bar{\sigma}_1^2$; and step (279c) from (246b). Step (280a) follows from (67c); step (280c) from

$$2\bar{\sigma}_3^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2)(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2) \geq 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2) \tag{282a}$$

$$\geq P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_4^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2)+\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2) \tag{282b}$$

$$= \bar{\sigma}_1^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2)\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}(\bar{\sigma}_3^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2)}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}+\bar{\sigma}_4^2\right), \tag{282c}$$

where (282b) from $\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \geq \bar{\sigma}_4^2$; and step (280d) from (246c). Step (281a) follows from (67d); step (281c) from

$$2\bar{\sigma}_3^2(P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2) \geq 2\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \tag{283a}$$

$$\geq \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2 \tag{283b}$$

$$\geq \frac{P_{\mathrm{R}}\bar{\sigma}_1^2(\bar{\sigma}_3^2-\bar{\sigma}_2^2)}{P_{\mathrm{R}}+\bar{\sigma}_3^2}+\bar{\sigma}_1^2\bar{\sigma}_3^2; \tag{283c}$$

and step (281d) from (246d). Therefore, (D3.5) is achievable to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit, which concludes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

VI. Conclusion

In this paper, we put forth a novel transmission scheme and an efficient rate analysis method to study the capacity of the two-pair two-way relay channel. Based on the message-reassembling strategy, we decoupled the coding design for the user-to-relay and relay-to-user transmissions, so as to fully exploit the channel randomness. We employed Gaussian random coding, nested lattice coding, and superposition coding for signal encoding, as well as successive interference cancellation for signal decoding. Careful power allocation was also used in the capacity analysis. Our approach improved the achievability of the capacity region from the existing result of within $\frac{3}{2}$ bits per user to within $\frac{1}{2}$ bit per user.

References

[1] S. Zhang, S. C. Liew, and P. P. Lam, "Hot topic: physical-layer network coding, " in *Proc. of ACM Mobicom*, New York, NY, USA, pp. 8-365, 2006.

[2] W. Nam, S.-Y. Chung, and Y. H. Lee, "Capacity of the Gaussian two way relay channel to within 1/2 bit," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, pp. 488-494, Nov. 2010.

[3] B. Nazer and M. Gastpar, "Reliable physical layer network coding," *Proc. of the IEEE*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 438-460, Mar. 2011.

[4] S. C. Liew, S. Zhang, and L. Lu, "Physical-layer network coding: Tutorial, survey, and beyond, " *Physical Commun.*, vol. 6, pp. 4-42, Mar. 2013.

[5] A. Sezgin, A. Avestimehr, M. Khajehnejad, and B. Hassibi, "Divide-and-conquer: Approaching the capacity of the two-pair bidirectional Gaussian relay network," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 2434-2454, Apr. 2012.

[6] J. Joung and A. Sayed, "Multiuser two-way amplify-and-forward relay processing and power control methods for beamforming systems," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 1833-1846, Mar. 2010.

[7] H. Xin, Y. Peng, C. Wang, Y. Yang, and W. Wang, "Coordinated eigen beamforming for multi-pair MIMO two-way relay network," in *Proc. of IEEE GLOBECOM*, Houston, TX, USA, pp. 1-6, Dec. 2011.

[8] Z. Ding and H. Poor, "A general framework of precoding design for multiple two-way relaying communications," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 1531-15, Jun. 2013.

[9] H. Xin, X. Yuan, and S. C. Liew, "Multi-pair MIMO two-way relaying: a principal-angle perspective," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 380-394, Jan. 2016.

[10] N. Lee, J. B. Lim, and J. Chun, "Degrees of freedom of the MIMO Y channel: Signal space alignment for network coding," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 3332-3342, Jul. 2010.

[11] A. Chaaban, K. Ochs, and A. Sezgin, "The degrees of freedom of the MIMO Y-channel," in *Proc. of IEEE ISIT*, Istanbul, Turkey, pp. 1581-1585, Jul. 2013.

[12] L. Ong, C. M. Kellett, and S. J. Johnson, "On the equal-rate capacity of the AWGN multiway relay channel," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 5761-5769, Sept. 2012.

[13] D. Gunduz, A. Yener, A. Goldsmith, and H. V. Poor, "The multiway relay channel," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 51-63, Jan. 2013.

[14] X. Yuan, "MIMO multiway relaying with clustered full data exchange: Signal space alignment and degrees of freedom," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 6795-6808, Dec. 2014.

[15] K. Liu, M. Tao, and X. Yuan, "Optimal DoF region for the asymmetric two-pair MIMO two-way relay channel," *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 1700-1711, April 1, 2017.

[16] Y. Tian, and A. Yener, "Degrees of freedom for the MIMO multi-way relay channel," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 2495-2511, May 2014.

[17] R. Wang, X. Yuan, and R. W. Yeung, "MIMO multipair two-way relaying with distributed relays: Joint signal alignment and interference neutralization," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 1326-1343, March 2016.

[18] T. Cover and J. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1991.

[19] D. Bremner, K. Fukuda, and A. Marzetta, "Primal-dual methods for vertex and facet enumeration," *Discrete and Computational Geometry* vol. 20 (3), pp. 333-7, 1998.

[20] H. Xin, X. Yuan, and S. C. Liew, "Broadcast channel with transmitter noncausal interference and receiver side information," in *Proc. of IEEE ICC*, Sydney, Australia, pp. 1947-1952, Jun. 2014.

[21] U. Erez and R. Zamir, "Achieving 1/2log(1+SNR) on the AWGN channel with lattice encoding and decoding," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 50, no. 10, pp. 2293-2314, 2004.

[22] A. E. Gamal and Y.-H. Kim, *Network Information Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[23] A. Chaaban and A. Sezgin, "Cyclic communication and the inseparability of MIMO multi-way relay channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 12, pp. 6734-6750, Dec. 2015.